

## Differential Forms

Our treatment of differential forms departs significantly from that of Rudin [1]; these notes are intended as a *brief* summary of our approach, concentrating on definitions rather than proofs or motivational arguments. Our approach is based to some extent on the very readable little book by Spivak [2].

### 1. The basic definitions

Throughout we let  $J$  denote the interval  $[0, 1]$  and for  $k \geq 1$  write  $J^k = J \times \cdots \times J$  ( $k$  factors); we also make the convention that  $J^0 = \{0\}$ . We let  $E$  denote an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is, for most purposes, fixed. We are going to assume that all the functions used in our definitions are infinitely differentiable; this is by no means necessary, but it simplifies the presentation a bit because we never have to ask how many derivatives we really need to assume.

**Definition 1:** A singular  $k$ -cube  $\varphi$  in  $E$  is a  $C^\infty$  mapping  $\varphi : J^k \rightarrow E$ .

Note that, since  $J^0$  consists of a single point, a singular 0-cube  $\varphi : J^0 \rightarrow E$  picks out a single point of  $E$ ; we will often think of a 0-cube as the point rather than the map. A singular 1-cube  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow E$  is just a curve in  $E$ .

**Definition 2:** A  $k$ -form  $\omega$  in  $E$  is a function which assigns to every singular  $k$ -cube  $\varphi$  a number  $\int_\varphi \omega$ , calculated according to the following prescriptions. We write  $\mathcal{E}_k(E)$  for the set of all  $k$ -forms in  $E$ .

(i)  $k = 0$ : A 0-form may be identified with a  $C^\infty$  function  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ; then if  $\varphi$  is a singular 0-cube we define  $\int_\varphi f = f(\varphi(0))$ . Note that if we identify  $\varphi$  with the point  $\mathbf{a} = \varphi(0) \in E$  as suggested in Definition 1 then  $\int_\varphi f = \int_{\mathbf{a}} f = f(\mathbf{a})$ . For zero forms we usually do not use the integral notation, writing simply  $f(\mathbf{a})$ .

(ii)  $k \geq 1$ : If  $\omega$  is a  $k$ -form in  $E$  with  $k \geq 1$  then there exist  $C^\infty$  functions  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , indexed by  $k$ -tuples  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  with  $1 \leq i_j \leq n$ , such that for each singular  $k$ -cube  $\varphi$ ,

$$\int_\varphi \omega = \int_{J^k} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(\varphi(\mathbf{t})) \frac{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})}{\partial(t_1, \dots, t_k)} d\mathbf{t}. \quad (1)$$

Here we have written  $\mathbf{x} = \varphi(\mathbf{t})$ ,  $\mathbf{t} \in J^k$ , and of course

$$\frac{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})}{\partial(t_1, \dots, t_k)} = \begin{vmatrix} D_1 \varphi_{i_1} & \cdots & D_k \varphi_{i_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ D_1 \varphi_{i_k} & \cdots & D_k \varphi_{i_k} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

The formula (1) is abbreviated as

$$\omega = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(\mathbf{x}) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}. \quad (3)$$

Because the determinant in (2) vanishes when two rows are equal, any coefficient  $a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}$  with  $i_p = i_q$  for some  $1 \leq p < q \leq k$  does not contribute to (1) and may be omitted from (3) if we choose; another way to say this is that  $dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k} = 0$  if there are any repeated indices among  $i_1, \dots, i_k$ . An immediate consequence is that *there is no nonzero  $k$ -form in  $E$  if  $k > n$ .*

Similarly, because the determinant changes sign when any two rows are interchanged we have

$$dx_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \wedge dx_{i_{\sigma(2)}} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_{\sigma(k)}} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$$

for any permutation  $\sigma$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ , with  $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$  the sign of the permutation. These facts together mean that (3) can be rewritten in the form

$$\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}(\mathbf{x}) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$b_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) a_{i_{\sigma(1)} i_{\sigma(2)} \dots i_{\sigma(k)}}.$$

Rudin calls (4) the *standard presentation* of the  $k$ -form  $\omega$ . For example, here is a 2-form in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , written out in the form (1), and in its standard presentation:

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{11} dx \wedge dx + a_{12} dx \wedge dy + a_{13} dx \wedge dz + a_{21} dy \wedge dx \\ & + a_{22} dy \wedge dy + a_{23} dy \wedge dz + a_{31} dz \wedge dx + a_{32} dz \wedge dy + a_{33} dz \wedge dz \\ & = (a_{12} - a_{21}) dx \wedge dy + (a_{13} - a_{31}) dx \wedge dz + (a_{23} - a_{32}) dy \wedge dz. \end{aligned}$$

We will sometimes adopt Rudin's notation for (4):  $\omega = \sum_I b_I(\mathbf{x}) dx_I$ , in which  $I$  denotes an ordered  $k$ -tuple,  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$  with  $i_1 < \dots < i_k$ , and  $dx_I = dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_k}$ .

**Example 3:** Let  $\varphi$  be the singular 2-cube in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  defined for  $a, b > 0$  by

$$\varphi(s, t) = (a \cos 2\pi s, a \sin 2\pi s, bt);$$

this is the lateral surface of a cylinder of radius  $a$  and height  $b$ . We evaluate the simple example  $\int_{\varphi} \omega$  for  $\omega = (e^{xz} dx \wedge dy + y^2 dx \wedge dz + x dy \wedge dz)$ , using

$$\frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(s, t)} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial(x, z)}{\partial(s, t)} = -2\pi ab \sin 2\pi s, \quad \frac{\partial(y, z)}{\partial(s, t)} = 2\pi ab \cos 2\pi s,$$

to obtain

$$\int_{\varphi} \omega = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (-2\pi a^3 b \sin^3 2\pi s + 2\pi a^2 b \cos^2 2\pi s) ds dt = \pi a^2 b.$$

(Computational hint:  $\int_0^1 \sin^3 2\pi s ds = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} \sin^3 2\pi s ds = 0$  by symmetry;  $\int_0^1 \cos^2 2\pi s ds = 1/2$  because the average value of  $\sin^2$  or  $\cos^2$  over one (or one half) period is always 1.)