

SOME SOLUTIONS FOR ASSIGNMENT 10

Before beginning 10.9, it may help to recall exactly what multiple integrals we have defined. For f a continuous function on a k -cell I we defined $\int_I f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ by iterated integration (and showed the result was independent of the order of integration); for f a continuous function defined in \mathbb{R}^k with compact support we defined $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) dx$ as $\int_I f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$, where I is a k -cell containing $\text{supp } f$. Any other sort of integral must be defined before we can prove anything about it; see the remark at the end of the problem.

10.9: The assignment sheet introduced the notation $I = [0, a] \times [0, 2\pi]$, I_0 the interior of I , and then outlined a three-step approach to the problem:

(i) Verify the mapping properties and the formula for the Jacobian as Rudin asks; check also that I_0 maps onto D_0 . Then check that, as Rudin indicates, the formula holds if $\text{supp } f \subset D_0$.

As observed by Amanda Hood, this part of the problem is difficult (to say the least) unless one corrects an error in Rudin's statement of the problem: D_0 should be the interior of the D , the disc with radius a and center 0, minus $\{(x, 0) \mid 0 \leq x < a\}$. Then it is pretty clear that T maps D onto I and is a 1-1 map of I_0 to D_0 . The Jacobian of T is

$$J_T(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, \theta)} = \begin{vmatrix} \partial x / \partial r & \partial y / \partial r \\ \partial x / \partial \theta & \partial y / \partial \theta \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -r \sin \theta & r \cos \theta \end{vmatrix} = r.$$

Now Theorem 10.9 applies (with $E = I_0$), so that if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(T(r, \theta)) r d\theta dr, \quad \text{if } \text{supp } f \subset D_0. \quad (10.1)$$

(ii) Let $(\phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions defined on I and satisfying $0 \leq \phi_n \leq 1$, $\text{supp } \phi_n \subset I_0$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n = 1$ on I_0 , with uniform convergence on compact subsets of I_0 . For $(x, y) \in D$ with $(x, y) = T(r, \theta)$ let $\psi_n(x, y) = \phi_n(r, \theta)$. (T is not 1-1 on D ; why is ψ_n well defined?) Then show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(r, \theta) f(T(r, \theta)) r d\theta dr = \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r, \theta)) r d\theta dr, \quad (10.2)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_D \psi_n(x, y) f(x, y) dx dy = \int_D f(x, y) dx dy. \quad (10.3)$$

Note first that T is not 1-1 on I : $T(0, \theta) = (0, 0)$ for all θ , and $T(r, 0) = T(r, 2\pi) = (r, 0)$ for all r . This might present a problem in defining ψ_n as above, because if $T(r_1, \theta_1) = T(r_2, \theta_2)$ then the rule $\psi_n(x, y) = \phi_n(r, \theta)$ whenever $(x, y) = T(r, \theta)$ could be ambiguous. But since $\phi_n = 0$ on the boundary of I this is not a problem: the rule always gives $\psi_n(x, y) = 0$ at such points of possible ambiguity.

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with $\text{supp } f \subset D$, and suppose $|f| \leq M$. We verify (10.2) and (10.3) for this f . It is useful to recall the inequality (discussed in class when we first defined integrals over cells) that if $J = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$ then

$$\left| \int_J g \, dr \, d\theta \right| \leq 2\pi(b_1 - a_1)(b_2 - a_2)a \|g\|. \quad (10.4)$$

To verify (10.2), observe that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$ then $|\phi_n - 1| \leq \epsilon$ on the rectangle $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon] \times [\epsilon, 2\pi - \epsilon]$. Then, writing

$$g(r, \theta) = |\phi_n(r, \theta)f(T(r, \theta)) - f(T(r, \theta))|r,$$

we have

$$\left| \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(r, \theta)f(T(r, \theta))r \, d\theta \, dr - \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r, \theta))r \, d\theta \, dr \right| \leq \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} g(r, \theta) \, dr \, d\theta.$$

But $\|g\| \leq Ma$ and $\|g\| \leq \epsilon Ma$ on $[\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon] \times [\epsilon, 2\pi - \epsilon]$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} g(r, \theta) \, dr \, d\theta &= \left[\int_0^\epsilon + \int_\epsilon^{a-\epsilon} + \int_{a-\epsilon}^a \right] \int_0^{2\pi} g(r, \theta) \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\leq 2(Ma)(2\pi\epsilon) + \int_\epsilon^{a-\epsilon} \left[\int_0^\epsilon + \int_\epsilon^{2\pi-\epsilon} + \int_{2\pi-\epsilon}^{2\pi} \right] g(r, \theta) \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\leq 4Ma\pi\epsilon + 2Ma(a - 2\epsilon)\epsilon + (a - 2\epsilon)(2\pi - 2\epsilon)Ma\epsilon \\ &\leq 2Ma(2\pi + a + \pi a)\epsilon; \end{aligned}$$

here we have applied (10.4) repeatedly. Thus (10.2) holds.

The verification of (10.3) is similar. One sees easily that ψ_n converges to 1 uniformly on compact subsets of D_0 , so given $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq N$ then $|\psi_n - 1| \leq \epsilon$ on the set $K_\delta = \{(x, y) \mid \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \leq a - \delta, |y| > \delta\} \subset D_0$. Setting

$$h(x, y) = |\psi_n(x, y)f(x, y) - f(x, y)|,$$

we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi_n(x, y)f(x, y) \, dx \, dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y) \, dx \, dy \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(x, y) \, dx \, dy.$$

Now by our definition, if h is continuous and $\text{supp } h$ is contained in the interior of D then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h \, dx \, dy = \int_{-a}^a \int_{-a}^a h(x, y) \, dx \, dy, \quad (10.5)$$

and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h(x, y) \, dx \, dy = \left[\int_{-a}^{-a+\delta} + \int_{-a+\delta}^{-\delta} + \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} + \int_{\delta}^{a-\delta} + \int_{a-\delta}^a \right] \int_{-a}^a h(x, y) \, dx \, dy. \quad (10.6)$$

The first, third, and fifth integrals here are easily estimated, using $|h| \leq M$, as $2aM\delta$, $4aM\delta$, and $2aM\delta$, respectively. The second and fourth are treated similarly, we will discuss the fourth. Writing $\alpha(u, y) = \sqrt{u^2 - y^2}$ for $|y| \leq u$ we have

$$\int_{\delta}^{a-\delta} \int_{-a}^a h(x, y) dx dy = \int_{\delta}^{a-\delta} \left[\int_{-\alpha(a-\delta, y)}^{-\alpha(a-\delta, y)} + \int_{-\alpha(a-\delta, y)}^{\alpha(a+\delta, y)} + \int_{\alpha(a-\delta, y)}^{-\alpha(a, y)} \right] h(x, y) dx dy.$$

The middle integral, where we have $|h| < \epsilon$, is at most $2a^2\epsilon$. Now note that $\alpha(u, y)$ is continuous and hence uniformly continuous on $\{|y| \leq u \leq a\}$, so that the first and third integrals are each bounded by

$$M \int_{\delta}^{a-\delta} [u(a, y) - u(a - \delta, y)] dy \leq Ma\epsilon,$$

if δ is chosen sufficiently small. Thus for such δ the fourth integral in (10.6) is bounded by $(2Ma + 2a^2)\epsilon$; the same bound holds for the second integral. We may suppose also that $\delta \leq \epsilon$, in which case we have bounded (10.6) by $(12Ma + 4a^2)\epsilon$, completing the proof of (10.3).

(iii) Construct a sequence ϕ_n as in (iii) and thus prove the theorem.

For $n \geq 2$ let $\chi_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\chi_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } t \leq 1/2n \text{ or } t \geq 1 - 1/2n, \\ 1, & \text{if } 1/n \leq t \leq 1 - 1/n \\ 2 - 2nt, & \text{if } 1/2n < t < 1/n, \\ 2 - 2n(1 - t), & \text{if } 1 - 1/n < t < 1 - 1/2n; \end{cases}$$

then $\phi_n(r, \theta) = \chi_n(r/a)\chi_n(\theta/(2\pi))$ satisfies the hypotheses in (ii), because if $K \subset I_0$ is compact then $K \subset (\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon) \times (\epsilon, 2\pi - \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, so $\phi_n = 1$ on K for sufficiently large n . Now by (10.1), if $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous with $\text{supp } f \subset D$ then

$$\int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} \phi_n(r, \theta) f(T(r, \theta)) r d\theta dr = \int_D \psi_n(x, y) f(x, y) dx dy,$$

so that by (10.2) and (10.3),

$$\int_0^a \int_0^{2\pi} f(T(r, \theta)) r d\theta dr = \int_D f(x, y) dx dy. \quad \blacksquare \quad (10.7)$$

Remark: In the above we supposed that $\text{supp } f$ was contained in the interior of D , because only in this case have we defined $\int_D f dx dy$ (we really defined $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f dx dy$, but it is natural to identify these). If we are given only $f \in \mathcal{C}(D)$, as Rudin suggests, then technically $\int_D f dx dy$ is as yet undefined (note that defining $f = 0$ outside D may not lead to a function continuous in \mathbb{R}^2). However, it is natural in this case to define

$$\int_D f dx dy = \int_{-a}^a \left(\int_{-\sqrt{a^2-y^2}}^{\sqrt{a^2-y^2}} f(x, y) dx \right) dy,$$

and our proof then applies to show that (10.7) holds for $f \in \mathcal{C}(D)$.