

Problem Set 2, 640:591, Spring 2009

1. Let  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  be the infinite cross-product of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  and denote a typical element by  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots)$ . Consider also the familiar spaces

$$\ell_2 := \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \sum_i \omega_i^2 < \infty \right\}$$

with Hilbertian norm  $\|\omega\|_2^2 := \sum_i \omega_i^2 < \infty$ . For a positive integer  $i$ , the projection operator  $X_i$ , given by  $X_i(\omega) = \omega_i$ , is well-defined on each of these spaces. Let  $A$  a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  of the form

$$\{\omega \mid (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N) \in A\},$$

where  $A$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some positive integer  $N$ , is called a cylinder set. Cylinder sets of  $\ell_2$  are defined similarly. The same argument as in Chapter 1 for  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ , the cylinder sets of  $\ell_2$  form an algebra but not a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

a) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\ell_2$  generated by the cylinder sets of  $\ell_2$ . It is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that makes every projection operator measurable. Show that  $\mathcal{F}$  coincides with the Borel sets of  $\ell_2$ , defined using the topology associated to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_2$ .

b) Let  $P$  be a probability measure on the Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $P(\{0\}) < 1$ . We know from Chapter 1 of the notes how to construct the product measure  $P^\infty = P \times P \times \dots$  on  $(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \otimes_1^\infty \mathcal{B})$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  represents the Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Recall that  $P^\infty$  is the unique extension of the finitely additive measure  $\mu$  defined on the cylinder sets of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  as follows: if  $U$  is the cylinder set

$$\{\omega \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N) \in A\},$$

where  $A$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , then

$$\mu(U) = P^N(A),$$

where  $P^N$  is the  $N$ -fold product of  $P$ . Suppose we try to imitate this construction for  $\ell_2$ . That is, for a cylinder set

$$U = \{\omega \in \ell_2 \mid (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_N) \in A\},$$

where  $A$  is a Borel subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we define  $\nu(U) = P^N(A)$ . Show that  $\nu$  is a finitely additive probability space on the algebra of cylinder sets of  $\ell_2$  but that it does not admit a countably additive extension.

This example is interesting for the following reason. Let  $\{\phi_n\}$  be an orthogonal basis of  $L^2([0, 1])$  with respect to the usual inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 fg \, dx$ ; for example  $\{\sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi x); n \geq 1\}$ . Engineers like to model signals in terms of Fourier series.

If a ‘signal’  $f$  is a function in  $L^2([0, 1])$ , it can be represented in terms of its Fourier series

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n(x)$$

We can model a random signal from  $L^2([0, 1])$  using a probability measure on  $\ell^2$  for the sequence of Fourier coefficients  $(\langle f, \phi_1 \rangle, \langle f, \phi_2 \rangle, \dots)$ . Essentially, we generate a random signal by choosing the its Fourier coefficients at random. The intuitive idea of white noise is that of a random signal with the same power at each frequency. Mathematically, we interpret this as a model in which the Fourier coefficients are independent and all have the same probability distribution, namely a product measure  $P^\infty$  on  $\ell^2$ . The problem above says that we can create a finitely additive probability space on the Borel sets of  $\ell^2$  corresponding to this idea, but not a countably additive probability space.

**2.** Suppose that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are  $\sigma$ -finite measures on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ .

(a) Let  $\mathcal{F}_0$  be an algebra which generates  $\mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that  $\mu(A) \leq \nu(A)$  for all  $A$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Show that  $\mu(A) \leq \nu(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

(b) Show that (a) can fail if  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is only a  $\pi$ -system.

**3.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be non-empty collections of subsets of  $\Omega$ . Recall that a collection  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a  $\lambda$ -system if

(i)  $\Omega \in \mathcal{G}$ ;

(ii)  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{G}$ , and  $B \subset A$  imply that  $A - B \in \mathcal{G}$ ;

(iii) If  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is an increasing sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ , then  $\cup_1^\infty A_n \in \mathcal{G}$ .

Prove the Dynkin System Theorem: Assume that  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{G}$ . If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system and if  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under finite intersections, then  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{G}$ .

Hint: Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the smallest  $\lambda$ -system containing  $\mathcal{C}$  and prove that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}$ . Note that to do this it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under finite intersections. Use the type of argument appearing in the proof of the Monotone Class Theorem.

**4.** Give an example of two collections of sets  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  in a probability space such that  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  are independent, but  $\sigma(\mathcal{G}_1)$ , and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  are not.

**5.** a) Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be independent events each having probability  $1/2$ . Let  $B_{ij}$  be the event that either both  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  occur or neither occurs. Show that  $B_{12}, B_{13}$  and  $B_{23}$  are pairwise but not mutually independent.

b) Construct a probability space with  $n + 1$  events such that any subset of  $n$  are mutually independent but all  $n + 1$  are not independent.