

**Math 16:642:621 – Homework 1\* – Fall 2007 © Paul Feehan**

This homework assignment explores a financial model with a finite market state space  $\Omega := \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\}$ , a set of discrete trading times  $\mathcal{T} := \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$  where  $t_0 < \dots < t_n$ , with  $\delta t := t_{k+1} - t_k$ ,  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $t_n = T$ , and  $p$  assets with vector of pricing functions  $A(t, \omega) := (S_1(t, \omega), \dots, S_p(t, \omega)) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , and initial prices  $A(0) = (S_1(0), \dots, S_p(0))$  (independent of  $\omega$ ).

We often take one of the asset prices, say  $S_1(t, \omega)$ , to be the price  $B(t)$  of a “riskless” asset (meaning independent of  $\omega$ ), the *bank* (or *money market*) *account* with constant interest rate  $r \geq 0$ , so that  $B(t + \delta t) = B(t)(1 + r\delta t)$  (simple compounding) or  $B(t + \delta t) = B(t)e^{r\delta t}$  (continuous compounding), for  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ; simple compounding is assumed in this assignment. When  $p = 2$  (a two-asset model), we denote  $S_2(t, \omega) = S(t, \omega)$  and think of  $S$  as the price of the stock or “risky” asset (value depends on  $\omega$ ). A *portfolio process* or *trading strategy*  $\Phi(t, \omega) = (\phi_1(t, \omega), \dots, \phi_p(t, \omega)) \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is a vector of functions giving the number of shares of each asset, noting that  $\Phi(t_1, \omega) = \Phi(0, \omega) = \Phi(0)$  (independent of  $\omega$ ). The *portfolio value process* is the dot product  $\Pi(t, \omega) := \Phi(t, \omega) \cdot A(t, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(t, \omega) A_i(t, \omega)$ .

For the rest of this assignment, suppose assume  $n = 1$  (a *one-period model*), so that  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)$  (independent of  $(t, \omega)$ ). An *arbitrage* in this model is a portfolio  $\Phi$  such that

- (a)  $\Pi(0) < 0$  and  $\Pi(T, \omega_i) \geq 0$  for all  $\omega_i \in \Omega$ , or
- (b)  $\Pi(0) = 0$ ,  $\Pi(T, \omega_i) \geq 0$  for all  $\omega_i \in \Omega$ , and  $\Pi(T, \omega_j) > 0$  for some  $\omega_j \in \Omega$ ,

where  $\Pi(t, \omega) = \Phi \cdot A(t, \omega)$ . The model is called *arbitrage-free* if no arbitrage portfolio exists.

For the next two problems, suppose  $m = 2$  (a two-state model) and  $p = 2$  and write  $B(0) = B_0 > 0$ ,  $S(0) = S_0 > 0$ ,  $S(T, \omega_1) = dS_0$  (price of stock in down state), and  $S(T, \omega_2) = uS_0$  (price of stock in up state), where  $0 < d < u < \infty$ ; this is the *binomial branch model* (the *multiperiod* version is called the *binomial tree model*). We showed in class that if  $1 + rT \leq d$ , then the model admits an arbitrage.

1. Show that if  $u \leq 1 + rT$ , then the model admits an arbitrage. Conclude that if the binomial model is arbitrage-free, then  $d < 1 + rT < u$ .
2. Show that if  $d < 1 + rT < u$ , then the binomial model is arbitrage-free. Conclude that the binomial model is arbitrage-free if and only if  $d < 1 + rT < u$ .
3. Is it necessary to assume  $d < 1 < u$  in order that the binomial branch model is arbitrage free? Explain why or why not.

Suppose  $V(t, \omega)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued function. We can think of  $V(t, \omega)$  as the price function for a *derivative security*, a tradable asset which can be added to our set of  $p$  underlying assets, having *payoff*  $V(T, \omega)$ . Common examples include:

- $V(T, \omega) = S(T, \omega) - K$ , a *forward contract*,
- $V(T, \omega) = (S(T, \omega) - K)^+$ , a *European call option*,
- $V(T, \omega) = (K - S(T, \omega))^+$ , a *European put option*,

where  $x^+ = \max\{x, 0\}$ ,  $K$  is the contract strike, and  $S$  is a stock. In these examples,  $V(T, \omega)$  is a known function of  $S(T, \omega)$ , and we wish to find  $V(0) = V_0$ .

We call  $\Phi$  a *replicating portfolio* for  $V$  if

$$V(T, \omega) = \Phi \cdot A(T, \omega) \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

If the model is arbitrage free, then one can prove that

$$(0.1) \quad V_0 = \Phi \cdot A(0).$$

Hence, if one has a replicating formula for the derivative security  $V$  and the model is arbitrage free, the initial price  $V_0$  is uniquely determined. We ask you to show this directly below in the special case when  $p = m = 2$ .

---

\*Last update: 9/5/2007

4. Consider the binomial model with underlying asset price functions  $B(t)$ ,  $S(t, \omega)$ , and derivative security price function  $V(t, \omega)$ . Suppose  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  is a replicating portfolio for  $V$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} V(T, \omega) &= \phi_1 B(T) + \phi_2 S(T, \omega) \\ &= \phi_1 B_0(1 + rT) + \phi_2 S(T, \omega), \quad \text{for all } \omega. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that both the binomial model with underlying assets  $\{B, S\}$  and the augmented model with assets  $\{B, S, V\}$  are arbitrage-free. Show that

$$V_0 = \phi_1 B_0 + \phi_2 S_0.$$

To see this, consider two cases: (1)  $V_0 < \phi_1 B_0 + \phi_2 S_0$  and (2)  $V_0 > \phi_1 B_0 + \phi_2 S_0$ . For each case, show that an arbitrage exists by constructing a portfolio in  $\{B, S, V\}$  with zero initial value, non-negative value at time  $T$  for all market states, and positive value for some market state.

5. Consider the binomial branch model with underlying asset price functions  $B(t)$ ,  $S(t, \omega)$ , and derivative security price function  $V(t, \omega)$ . Suppose  $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$  is a replicating portfolio for  $V$ , that is,

$$V(T, \omega) = \phi_1 B_0(1 + rT) + \phi_2 S(T, \omega), \quad \text{for all } \omega.$$

Assume that both the binomial model with underlying assets  $\{B, S\}$  and the augmented model with assets  $\{B, S, V\}$  are arbitrage-free, so by the preceding problem, one has

$$V_0 = \phi_1 B_0 + \phi_2 S_0.$$

Find the unknown  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  using the following steps:

(a) Show that  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  obeys the linear equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_0(1 + rT) & S(T, \omega_1) \\ B_0(1 + rT) & S(T, \omega_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V(T, \omega_1) \\ V(T, \omega_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(b) Solve for  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$ :

$$\phi_2 = \frac{V(T, \omega_2) - V(T, \omega_1)}{S(T, \omega_2) - S(T, \omega_1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1 = \frac{1}{B_0(1 + rT)} (V(T, \omega_1) - \phi_2 S(T, \omega_1)).$$

Denoting  $S_d = S(T, \omega_1)$ ,  $S_u = S(T, \omega_2)$ ,  $V_d = V(T, \omega_1)$ , and  $V_u = V(T, \omega_2)$ , the solution takes on a simpler form:

$$\phi_2 = \frac{V_u - V_d}{S_u - S_d} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1 = \frac{1}{B_0(1 + rT)} (V_d - \phi_2 S_d).$$

(c) Show that

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{1 + rT} (V_d - \phi_2 S_d) + \phi_2 S_0.$$

(d) Show that  $V_0$  can also be written as

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{1 + rT} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + rT - d}{u - d} \right) V_u + \left( \frac{u - (1 + rT)}{u - d} \right) V_d \right]$$

(e) Assume that the binomial model is arbitrage free, so  $d < 1 + rT < u$ , and define

$$q_u := \frac{1 + rT - d}{u - d} \quad \text{and} \quad q_d := \frac{u - (1 + rT)}{u - d} = 1 - q_u.$$

Show that  $0 < q_d < 1$  and

$$V_0 = D(T)[q_u V_u + q_d V_d],$$

where  $D(t) := B(0)/B(t) = (1 + rT)^{-1}$  is called the *discount factor*. [Note: In the case of continuous compounding, the arbitrage free condition becomes  $d < e^{rT} < u$ , and the same argument yields the preceding formula with  $D(T) = e^{-rT}$ .]

**6.** In the setup of the preceding problem, assume the derivative security is a forward contract with strike  $K$ , so  $V(T, \omega) = S(T, \omega) - K$ , where  $K$  is non-negative constant.

(a) Show that

$$\phi_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1 = \frac{-K}{B_0(1+rT)}.$$

(b) Conclude that

$$V_0 = -D(T)K + S_0.$$

[Note: We had assumed  $B(T) = B_0(1+rT)$ . If instead  $B(t)$  grows at a continuously compounded rate  $r$ , then  $B(T) = B_0e^{rT}$  and the same argument would yield the preceding formula with  $D(T) = e^{-rT}$ . The *forward price*  $F(0, T)$  of an asset  $S$  at time  $t = 0$  for delivery at time  $T$  is defined to be that value of  $K$  for which  $V_0 = 0$ , that is,  $F(0, T) = S_0/D(T) = e^{rT}S_0$  or  $(1+rT)S_0$ .]

**7** (Optional). Assume that the one-period, augmented market model with  $p + 1$  price functions  $\{S_1, \dots, S_p, V\}$  and  $m$  states is arbitrage free. Prove that Equation (0.1) must hold. [Hint: The argument is very similar to that for the special case of the 2-asset, 2-state binomial branch model.]