

Mathematical Description of a Basic Financial Model

Definition: A market (or economy) has p tradeable assets, labelled $1, \dots, p$.

(1) Trading times: $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$
 $\mathcal{T} = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$

n -period model (discrete-time)

$t=0$ is "today"

T is terminal date or maturity

Note: Use $\mathcal{T} = [0, T]$ for continuous-time models in Shreve II.

(2) Sample (state) space: $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m\}$

Finite-state model ($m < \infty$)

Note: Use $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$, etc, for continuous-state models in Shreve II.

Each $\omega \in \Omega$ representing a "state" of the market (or economy).

(3) Price Functions (processes):

$$\{S_1(t, \omega), \dots, S_p(t, \omega)\} \quad t \in \mathcal{T}, \omega \in \Omega$$

$S_i(t, \omega)$ in \mathbb{R}

At time $t=0$, $S_i(0, \omega) = S_i(0)$, $i=1, \dots, p$.

Prices independent of $\omega \in \Omega$ at $t=0$.

(4) Also assume that the market contains a "bank account" or "money ^{market} security":

$B(t, \omega) > 0$ for all (t, ω)

$B(0, \omega) = B_0$

Distinguished asset.

Usually assume $B(t, \omega) = B(t)$, for all $\omega \in \Omega$

Examples: $\Delta t = t_{k+1} - t_k$, often choose

$$B(t + \Delta t) = \begin{cases} (1 + r \Delta t) B(t), & \text{or} \\ e^{r \Delta t} B(t) \end{cases}$$

Simple compounding or continuous.

r is the risk-free interest rate, $r > 0$.

Assume $B(t, \omega)$ is one of the $S_i(t, \omega)$ (usually $i=1$).

Portfolio processes (Trading Strategies)

Definition: A trading strategy lists # shares an investor holds in each asset, $1, \dots, p$, at each time $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and market state $\omega \in \Omega$:

$\phi_1(t, \omega), \dots, \phi_p(t, \omega)$ in \mathbb{R} , $(t, \omega) \in \mathcal{T} \times \Omega$

$$\underline{\Phi}(t, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(t, \omega) \\ \vdots \\ \phi_p(t, \omega) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

A portfolio value process is the total value of portfolio at each $(t, \omega) \in \mathcal{T} \times \Omega$:

$$\Pi(t, \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(t, \omega) S_i(t, \omega)$$

$$= (\phi_1(t, \omega), \dots, \phi_p(t, \omega)) \begin{pmatrix} S_1(t, \omega) \\ \vdots \\ S_p(t, \omega) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \underline{\Phi}(t, \omega) \cdot A(t, \omega)$$

Note that $\phi_i(0, \omega) = \phi_i(0)$, independent of $\omega \in \Omega$

Trading strategy is known at $t=0$.

One also assumes $\underline{\Phi}(t, \omega) = \underline{\Phi}(0)$

$$\text{i.e. } \phi_i(t, \omega) = \phi_i(0), i=1, \dots, p$$

$\phi_i(t, \omega) = \#$ shares of i^{th} security i .

$\phi_i < 0$: investor is short asset

$\phi_i > 0$: long asset

Arbitrage: we'll specialize to $n=1$
(one period model): $t_0=0$,
 $t_1=T$
(Often texts assume $\Delta t=1$, $T=1$.)

Defn: An arbitrage is a trading strategy

$$\Phi^* = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p) \quad \text{such that}$$

$$(a) \quad \Phi \cdot A(0) \equiv \Pi(0) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \cdot A(T, \omega) \equiv \Pi(T, \omega) \geq 0 \quad \forall \omega$$

or (b) $\Phi \cdot A(0) \equiv \Pi(0) = 0$ and

$$\Phi \cdot A(T, \omega) \equiv \Pi(T, \omega) \geq 0 \quad \forall \omega \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and} \\ \Pi(T, \omega') > 0 \quad \text{for some } \omega' \text{ in } \Omega$$

Example: Suppose $B(T) = (1+rT)B_0$, $B(0) = B_0$
 $S_2(t, \omega) = S(t, \omega)$.

Bank account, one stock. $\Omega = \{\text{up, down}\}$

$$S(0, \omega) = S_0, \quad S(T, \omega) = \begin{cases} u S_0, & \omega = \text{up} \\ d S_0, & \omega = \text{down} \end{cases}$$

Assume $S_0 > 0$, $0 < d < u$, ($d < 1, u > 1$)
 $B_0 > 0$ usually

Claim: Market is arbitrage-free \Leftrightarrow

$$d < \underbrace{1+rT}_{= B(T)/B(0)} < u$$

Proof: We'll prove " \Rightarrow ". (" \Leftarrow " is exercise.)

Suppose $1+rT \leq d < u$. Get an arbitrage by borrowing @ r and investing in S .

Suppose $d < u \leq 1+rT$. Get an arbitrage by selling S short and investing @ r .

Formal Proof: Suppose $1+rT \leq d < u$.

$$\underline{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -S_0 \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(t, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) \\ S(t, \omega) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{t=0}: \pi(0) = \underline{\Phi} \cdot A(0) = \begin{pmatrix} -S_0 \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B(0) \\ S(0) \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\underline{t=T}: \pi(T, \omega) = \underline{\Phi} \cdot A(T, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} -S_0 \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B(T) \\ S(T, \omega) \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} -S_0 \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_0(1+rT) \\ S(T, \omega) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\omega=u}: \pi(T, u) = \begin{pmatrix} -S_0 \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_0(1+rT) \\ uS_0 \end{pmatrix} \\ = B_0 S_0 (-(1+rT) + u) > 0$$

by assumption

$$\underline{\omega=d}: \pi(T, d) = \begin{pmatrix} -S_0 \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_0(1+rT) \\ dS_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= B_0 S_0 (-(1+rT) + d) \geq 0$$

\Rightarrow type (b) arbitrage.

Similarly get arbitrage if $d < u \leq 1+rT$. \square

Continuous Compounding: No arbitrage \Leftrightarrow
 $d < e^{rT} < u$

where $e^{rT} = B(T)/B(0)$.

Some Simple Derivative Securities

Examples: (1) Forward Contract: Defined by "payoff"

$$F_C(T, \omega) := S(T, \omega) - K \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$$

Delivery price K , maturity T ,
underlying $S(t, \omega)$.

$$(2) \quad C(T, \omega) := (S(T, \omega) - K)^+ \\ = \max \{ S(T, \omega) - K, 0 \}$$

Payoff function for a call option (European style).

$$(3) \quad P(T, \omega) := (K - S(T, \omega))^+$$

Payoff function for a put option (European style)

What are prices of these options at $t=0$?

(2), (3) "complicated". We'll see during semester

(1) We'll see (exercise): no-arbitrage price

$$F_C(0) = S(0) - \frac{B(0)}{B(T)} K \quad (\text{no arbitrage profit})$$

where $B(0)/B(T) = (1+rT)^{-1}$ or e^{-rT} .

Proof: Suppose $F(0) < S(0) - \frac{B(0)K}{B(T)}$

Assume $B(0) = 1$, $B(T) = 1+rT$. Then choose

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} K(1+rT)^{-1} \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(t, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) \\ S(t, \omega) \\ F_C(t, \omega) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(t, \omega) &= \Phi \cdot A(t, \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} K/(1+rT) \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B(t) \\ S(t, \omega) \\ F_C(t, \omega) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (1+rT)^{-1} K B(t) - S(t, \omega) + F_C(t, \omega) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Pi(0) = (1+rT)^{-1} K - S(0) + F_C(0) < 0 \text{ by assumption}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(T, \omega) &= (1+rT)^{-1} K B(T) - S(T, \omega) + F_C(T, \omega) \\ &= K - S(T, \omega) + F_C(T, \omega) = 0 \text{ by defn.} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow arbitrage.

Similarly, get an arbitrage if $F(0) > S(0) - \frac{B(0)K}{B(T)}$. \square

Defn: The forward price $F(0)$ of the asset S is the delivery price K such that $F_C(0) = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow K = \frac{B(T)}{B(0)} S(0) =: F(0)$$

No-arbitrage pricing and replicating portfolios

One-period model.

Suppose derivative security has payoff $V(T, \omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$.

$$V(0) = ?$$

A portfolio $\Phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)^*$ is called a replicating portfolio for the derivative security if

$$V(T, \omega) = \Phi \cdot A(T, \omega) \text{ for all } \omega \in \Omega.$$

Claim If the market $\{S_1, \dots, S_n, V\}$ is arbitrage-free then

$$V(0) = \Phi \cdot A(0)$$

Proof: Same idea as for forward contract. \square

Next Day: Binomial Branch model.