Volume 150, Number 4, April 2022, Pages 1467–1475 https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/15840 Article electronically published on January 26, 2022

# A JOURNEY FROM THE OCTONIONIC $\mathbb{P}^2$ TO A FAKE $\mathbb{P}^2$

LEV BORISOV, ANDERS BUCH, AND ENRICO FATIGHENTI

(Communicated by Rachel Pries)

ABSTRACT. We discover a family of surfaces of general type with  $K^2 = 3$  and  $p_g = q = 0$  as free  $C_{13}$  quotients of special linear cuts of the octonionic projective plane  $\mathbb{OP}^2$ . A special member of the family has 3 singularities of type  $A_2$ , and is a quotient of a fake projective plane. We use the techniques of earlier work of Borisov and Fatighenti to define this fake projective plane by explicit equations in its bicanonical embedding.

#### 1. Introduction

Fake projective planes are complex projective surfaces of general type with Hodge numbers equal to those of the usual projective plane  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ . There are exactly 50 complex conjugate pairs, constructed as ball quotients in  $\boxed{\text{CS11}}$ , and they are fascinating gemstones in the vast mine of algebraic surfaces of general type. The first explicit equations of a pair of fake projective planes were constructed in  $\boxed{\text{BK19}}$ , and additional six pairs were given explicitly in  $\boxed{\text{BF20}}$ . We refer the reader to  $\boxed{\text{BK19}}$  for more background and history.

Many fake projective planes  $\mathbb{P}^2_{fake}$  admit an action of the cyclic group  $C_3$ . The quotient  $\mathbb{P}^2_{fake}/C_3$  is then a singular surface with  $K^2=3$  and three singular points of type  $A_2$ ; see [Ke08]. It can be deformed to construct interesting smooth surfaces with  $K^2=3$ , genus  $p_g=0$ , and irregularity q=0. In [BF20] the process was reversed, namely a fake projective plane was constructed as a Galois triple cover of a special singular member of a family of surfaces with  $K^2=3$ . Since the current paper is in many ways analogous, we describe [BF20] in some detail in the next paragraph.

The paper BF20 first builds a family of special complete intersections of seven Plücker hyperplanes in the Grassmannian  $Gr(3, \mathbb{C}^6)$  which admit a free action of the cyclic group  $C_{14}$ . This gives a family of surfaces W which has  $K_W^2 = 3$ ,  $p_g = q = 0$ . Then the authors find an element of this family such that the quotient by  $C_{14}$  has an additional  $C_3$  symmetry and three  $A_2$  singularities. Its Galois cover (that was not at all easy to construct) is a fake projective plane with the automorphism group  $(C_3)^2$ , labeled by  $(C_2, p = 2, \emptyset, d_3D_3)$  in Cartwright-Steger classification  $C_3$ 

We have tried to see whether the approach of  $\overline{BF20}$  can be used to construct other fake projective planes. In the table  $\overline{BCP11}$  Table 1], the group  $C_{14}$  is the largest possible fundamental group of a  $K^2=3$  surface coming from a deformation of a  $C_3$  quotient of a fake projective plane. The second largest group is  $C_{13}$ , which is likely just a coincidence. Still, we hoped to follow the recipe of  $\overline{BF20}$  for this

Received by the editors September 1, 2020, and, in revised form, July 27, 2021. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14J29, 14O10.

The second author was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1503662.

©2022 American Mathematical Society

case and the current paper is the result of our efforts. We tried to realize the fundamental covers of these  $K^2=3$  surfaces as complete intersections in some homogeneous space. We were not quite able to do it; instead we constructed them as almost complete intersections of the 16 dimensional octonionic projective plane  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{26}$  by certain 15 linear equations, equivariant with respect to an order 13 element in the Cartan subgroup of the  $E_6$  group of automorphisms of  $\mathbb{OP}^2$ .

Afterwards, the process was rather similar to that of [BF20], although there were some technical complications due to 13 being odd. This meant that we could not construct an unramified double cover of our degenerate  $K^2 = 3$  surface. As a result, we had more difficulty controlling the size of the coefficients and had to work with 60K decimal digit numbers at some intermediate steps.

The main result of our paper can be stated as follows.

## **Theorem 1.1.** Let $d_1$ and $d_2$ be the roots of

$$0 = 2187 + 7290d + 23433d^2 + 21640d^3 + 66393d^4 - 21640d^5 + 23433d^6 - 7290d^7 + 2187d^8$$

approximately given by  $(d_1, d_2) \approx (1.93 + 2.30 \,\mathrm{i}, 0.0125 - 0.515 \,\mathrm{i})$ . Consider the surface  $X_0$  given by 26 quadratic equations (3) in  $\mathbb{P}^{11}$  with a natural  $C_{13}$  action  $t_i \mapsto \zeta_{13}^i t_i$ . Then this group  $C_{13}$  acts freely on  $X_0$  and the quotient  $X_0/C_{13}$  has three  $A_2$  singularities. This quotient admits a Galois triple cover, which is a fake projective plane denoted by  $(C_{18}, p = 3, \emptyset, d_3D_3)$  in  $\overline{CS_{11+}}$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe our motivation for using the octonionic projective plane and the special linear cuts that achieve our goal of constructing surfaces with  $K^2 = 3$ ,  $p_g = q = 0$ . We also describe how we found a special element of this family with three  $A_2$  singularities. In Section 3 we briefly explain the construction of the fake projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2_{fake}$ , labeled by  $(C18, p = 3, \emptyset, d_3D_3)$  in  $\overline{CS11+}$ , and state some open problems. An interested reader can access the details of the computations in  $\overline{BBF20+}$ , mostly in the form of Mathematica files.

### 2. Special cuts of the octonionic projective plane

2.1. **Motivation.** As was mentioned in Section  $\blacksquare$  we set out to find a family of surfaces of general type with  $K^2 = 3$ ,  $p_g = q = 0$  and fundamental group  $C_{13}$ . Here is how this search led us to consider cuts of the octonionic projective plane  $\mathbb{OP}^2$ .

Let X be the universal cover of a surface in question, with a free action of an order 13 automorphism g. Then  $K_X^2 = 39$  and  $\chi(K_X) = 13$ . It is reasonable to expect that  $h^1(X, K_X) = 0$  and  $h^0(X, K_X) = 12$ . Then the pluricanonical ring  $\bigoplus_{n>0} H^0(X, nK_X)$  of X must have the graded dimension

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \dim H^0(X, nK_X) t^n = 1 + 12t + 52t^2 + 130t^3 + \dots = \frac{1 + 9t + 19t^2 + 9t^3 + t^4}{(1-t)^3}.$$

It is also reasonable to assume that the pluricanonical ring is generated in degree one, so X is embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^{11}$ . It is also plausible that its image is cut out by 12(12+1)/2-52=26 quadrics. By the Holomorphic Lefschetz formula, as in H11 Theorem 2.1], the trace of the action of g on  $H^0(X,K_X)$  is (-1), which means that  $H^0(X,K_X)$  has a basis of eigenvectors of g with eigenvalues  $\zeta_{13}^i$  for

 $i=1,\ldots,12$ . Similarly, the action of g on the space of quadrics splits it into 13 two-dimensional eigenspaces.

Inspired by  $\overline{\mathrm{BF20}}$ , we undertook a rather exhaustive computer search for homogeneous varieties of degree 39 and other relevant invariants, but were not successful. However, the octonionic projective plane  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  has degree 78, and we observed the following remarkable coincidence: the homogeneous coordinate ring of  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  has graded dimension

$$\frac{(1+9t+19t^2+9t^3+t^4)(1+t)}{(1-t)^{17}}.$$

More specifically,  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  is the dim 16 singular locus of the  $E_6$ -invariant cubic in  $\mathbb{P}^{26}$  cut out by the 27 quadratic equations which are the partial derivatives of the cubic. So our idea was to take a linear cut of  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  by 15 equations (so that we are in  $\mathbb{P}^{11}$ ) which only drop the dimension by 14. We also want one of the quadratic equations to reduce to zero on the linear cut. The best analogy would be cutting a quadric xy = zw with Hilbert series  $\frac{1+t}{(1-t)^3}$  by two linear equations x = 0 and z = 0 to get a line with the Hilbert series  $\frac{1}{(1-t)^2}$  but, ultimately, it was a lucky guess.

2.2. Octonionic projective plane. There are several incarnations of the  $E_6$ -invariant cubic found in the literature. We used the one in Jacob Lurie's undergraduate thesis  $\boxed{\text{L11}}$ , namely

$$\begin{array}{l} -P_{10}P_{13}P_{16}-P_{11}P_{14}P_{17}-P_{12}P_{15}P_{18}-P_{16}P_{17}P_{18}+P_{1}P_{10}P_{19}-P_{1}P_{18}P_{2}\\ +P_{11}P_{2}P_{20}-P_{1}P_{14}P_{21}-P_{16}P_{20}P_{21}-P_{18}P_{22}P_{23}-P_{17}P_{19}P_{24}-P_{13}P_{2}P_{24}\\ -P_{14}P_{15}P_{25}-P_{19}P_{22}P_{25}-P_{12}P_{13}P_{26}-P_{20}P_{23}P_{26}-P_{10}P_{11}P_{27}-P_{21}P_{24}P_{27}\\ -P_{25}P_{26}P_{27}+P_{12}P_{21}P_{3}-P_{10}P_{23}P_{3}-P_{2}P_{25}P_{3}-P_{15}P_{20}P_{4}+P_{13}P_{22}P_{4}\\ -P_{17}P_{3}P_{4}-P_{12}P_{19}P_{5}+P_{14}P_{23}P_{5}-P_{27}P_{4}P_{5}-P_{11}P_{22}P_{6}+P_{15}P_{24}P_{6}\\ -P_{1}P_{26}P_{6}-P_{16}P_{5}P_{6}-P_{11}P_{12}P_{7}-P_{23}P_{24}P_{7}+P_{16}P_{25}P_{7}-P_{1}P_{4}P_{7}\\ -P_{10}P_{15}P_{8}-P_{21}P_{22}P_{8}+P_{17}P_{26}P_{8}-P_{2}P_{5}P_{8}-P_{13}P_{14}P_{9}-P_{19}P_{20}P_{9}\\ +P_{18}P_{27}P_{9}-P_{3}P_{6}P_{9}-P_{7}P_{8}P_{9}. \end{array}$$

The 27 variables  $P_1, \ldots, P_{27}$  are indexed by the lines on the Fermat cubic surface in  $\mathbb{CP}^3$  and the terms correspond to triples of coplanar lines. The sign prescription is more intricate, given in terms of the  $C_3$  action on the cubic; see L11. The octonionic projective plane  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  is cut out by the 27 partial derivatives of the above cubic

There is a Cartan subgroup  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^6$  of  $E_6$  that acts diagonally on the variables  $P_i$ . We picked an element g of order 13 of it which acts by  $P_i \mapsto \zeta_{13}^{a_i} P_i$  with the weights  $a_i$  given by

$$(6, 7, 7, 10, 3, 6, 10, 3, 0, 5, 8, 8, 4, 9, 5, 4, 9, 0, 2, 11, 11, 12, 1, 2, 12, 1, 0)$$

As the reader can see, the action of g on the variables has a three-dimensional eigenspace of weight zero and 12 two-dimensional eigenspaces of other weights. For the three invariant variables  $P_9$ ,  $P_{18}$ ,  $P_{27}$ , the corresponding partial derivatives of the cubic

$$-P_{13}P_{14} - P_{19}P_{20} + P_{18}P_{27} - P_3P_6 - P_7P_8, -P_{12}P_{15} - P_{16}P_{17} - P_1P_2 - P_{22}P_{23} + P_{27}P_9, -P_{10}P_{11} - P_{21}P_{24} - P_{25}P_{26} - P_4P_5 + P_{18}P_9$$

involve all of the variables  $P_i$ .

At this point, our expectations of the g-action on  $H^0(X, K_X)$  indicate that we need to take a linear cut by

$$(P_9, P_{18}, P_{27}, P_{23} + d_1 P_{26}, P_{19} + d_2 P_{24}, P_5 + d_3 P_8, P_{13} + d_4 P_{16}, P_{10} + d_5 P_{15}, P_1 + d_6 P_6, P_2 + d_7 P_3, P_{11} + d_8 P_{12}, P_{14} + d_9 P_{17}, P_4 + d_{10} P_7, P_{20} + d_{11} P_{21}, P_{22} + d_{12} P_{25})$$

for some constants  $d_1, \ldots, d_{12}$ . Moreover, we want a linear combination of the g-invariant quadrics (1) to vanish on the linear subspace of the cut. In view of the Cartan subgroup symmetry, it is reasonable to pick this linear combination to be the sum of the above quadrics. This gives 6 simple equations on  $d_i$ , namely  $d_i d_{13-i} = -1$ . We have been able to verify by computer at a specific point that the resulting scheme is a smooth surface of degree 13 and is thus a good candidate for our X.

Specifically, the 26 quadrics that cut out X are given by

2)  $-t_{10}^2 + d_2t_2t_5 - t_1t_6 - d_2t_{11}t_9, \ t_3^2 + t_2t_4 - d_1t_{12}t_7 + t_{11}t_8, \ -d_1t_1t_5 - d_1t_{12}t_7 + d_2t_{11}t_8 - t_{10}t_9, \ -t_{12}t_4 - t_{11}t_5 + t_{10}t_6 - t_7t_9, \ -t_4t_6 - t_3t_7 + d_2t_2t_8 - d_1t_1t_9, \ t_3t_4 + t_2t_5 + t_1t_6 - t_{12}t_8, \ d_1t_1t_2 + d_1t_{12}t_4 + t_{10}t_6 - t_8^2, \ -d_2t_{11}t_{12} + t_5^2 + t_3t_7 + t_1t_9, \ d_2t_{11}t_2 - t_{10}t_3 - t_6t_7 + t_4t_9, \ t_4^2 - t_3t_5 + d_2t_2t_6 + d_1t_1t_7, \ -t_{12}t_6 + t_{11}t_7 - t_{10}t_8 - t_9^2, \ -d_2t_2t_3 + t_1t_4 + d_2t_{11}t_7 - t_{10}t_8, \ t_{10}t_{12} + t_4t_5 - t_2t_7 - t_1t_8, \ d_1t_1t_3 - d_1t_{12}t_5 + d_2t_{11}t_6 - t_8t_9, \ -t_{10}t_{11} + t_3t_5 + t_2t_6 - d_1t_{12}t_9, \ -d_2t_{11}^2 + d_1t_{10}t_{12} - t_4t_5 + t_3t_6, \ d_2t_2^2 + t_1t_3 - t_{10}t_7 - t_8t_9, \ d_1t_1t_{12} + t_6t_7 - t_5t_8 - t_4t_9, \ -d_1t_{12}^2 + t_5t_6 + t_3t_8 - t_2t_9, \ d_1t_1^2 - d_2t_{11}t_4 - t_{10}t_5 + t_7t_8, \ -t_{12}t_3 - t_{11}t_4 + t_7t_8 + t_6t_9, \ d_1d_2t_{12}t_2 - d_2t_{11}t_3 - t_{10}t_4 - t_6t_8, \ -t_1t_{11} - t_{10}t_2 + t_5t_7 - t_3t_9, \ d_1t_1t_{10} + t_5t_6 + t_4t_7 + d_2t_2t_9, \ d_2t_{12}t_2 + t_{10}t_4 - t_7^2 - t_5t_9, \ d_1t_1t_{11} + t_6^2 + t_4t_8 + t_3t_9$ 

in the homogeneous coordinates  $(t_1:\ldots:t_{12})$  of  $\mathbb{P}^{11}$ . The action of g is  $t_i\mapsto \zeta_{13}^it_i$ .

Remark 2.1. The action of the Cartan subgroup of  $E_6$  reduces the dimension of the space of parameters d from six to two (taking into account the need to preserve the invariant quadric that has to vanish on the cut reduces  $E_6$  to  $F_4$ ). We expect the total family to have dimension four, but it is not clear how one can build it. What makes the elements above special is that these surfaces X admit an additional  $C_3$  symmetry that extends the  $C_{13}$  action to the semidirect product of these two groups. Namely, by scaling the variables (but still calling them  $t_i$ ) we could rewrite equation (2) as

 $(3) \\ -t_{10}^2 - d_1 d_2^2 (t_2 t_5 + t_1 t_6 - t_{11} t_9), \ d_1 d_2^2 t_3^2 + t_2 t_4 + t_{12} t_7 - t_{11} t_8, \ d_1 d_2^2 t_1 t_5 + t_{12} t_7 \\ -d_2 (t_{11} t_8 + t_{10} t_9), \ -t_{12} t_4 + d_1 d_2 (-t_{11} t_5 + t_{10} t_6 + d_2 t_7 t_9), \ t_4 t_6 + d_2 (-t_3 t_7 - t_2 t_8 \\ +d_1 d_2 t_1 t_9), \ d_1 d_2 (t_3 t_4 - t_2 t_5 + d_2 t_1 t_6) - t_{12} t_8, \ d_1 d_2^2 t_1 t_2 + t_{12} t_4 + d_2 t_{10} t_6 - d_2 t_8^2, \\ t_{11} t_{12} + d_1 d_2 (t_5^2 - t_3 t_7 + d_2 t_1 t_9), \ -t_{11} t_2 - t_{10} t_3 + t_6 t_7 + t_4 t_9, \ t_4^2 + d_1 d_2^2 (t_3 t_5 + t_2 t_6 \\ -t_1 t_7), \ -t_{12} t_6 + t_{11} t_7 - t_{10} t_8 - d_1 d_2^2 t_9^2, \ -d_1 d_2^2 t_2 t_3 + d_2 t_1 t_4 + d_2 t_{11} t_7 - t_{10} t_8, \\ t_{10} t_{12} - d_1 d_2 (t_4 t_5 - t_2 t_7 + d_2 t_1 t_8), \ d_1 d_2^2 t_1 t_3 + t_{12} t_5 - d_2 (t_{11} t_6 + t_8 t_9), \ t_{10} t_{11} \\ -d_1 d_2 (d_2 t_3 t_5 - t_2 t_6 + t_{12} t_9), \ -d_2 t_{11}^2 + t_{10} t_{12} + d_2 t_4 t_5 + d_1 d_2^2 t_3 t_6, \ t_{10} t_7 + d_1 d_2 (t_2^2 + d_2 t_1 t_3 - t_8 t_9), \ t_1 t_{12} - t_6 t_7 + t_5 t_8 - t_4 t_9, \ -t_{12}^2 - d_1 d_2^2 (t_5 t_6 - t_3 t_8 + t_2 t_9), \ d_1 d_2^2 t_1^2 + t_{11} t_4 + t_{10} t_5 - t_7 t_8, \ t_{11} t_4 - d_2 (t_{12} t_3 + t_7 t_8) + d_1 d_2^2 t_6 t_9, \ -t_{10} t_4 + d_1 d_2 (t_{12} t_2 + d_2 t_{11} t_3 - t_6 t_8), \ d_2 t_1 t_{11} - t_{10} t_2 + d_2 t_5 t_7 - d_1 d_2^2 t_3 t_9, \ -t_4 t_7 + d_1 d_2 (t_1 t_{10} - t_5 t_6 + d_2 t_2 t_9), \ d_2 t_{12} t_2 + t_{10} t_4 - d_2 t_7^2 + d_1 d_2^2 t_5 t_9, \ t_4 t_8 + d_1 d_2 (-t_1 t_{11} + t_6^2 + d_2 t_3 t_9)$ 

with the additional symmetry  $t_i \mapsto t_{3i \mod 13}$ . The details are in BBF20+, Section2.nb].

2.3. Constructing a cut with  $A_2$  singularities. Our method of constructing a fake projective plane largely followed the blueprint of  $\overline{BF20}$ .

We set  $d_3 = d_4 = d_5 = d_6 = 1$  and tried to find out which  $(d_1, d_2)$  give singular cuts. In order to achieve this, we worked on an affine coordinate chart of  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  which can be obtained by solving the equations of  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  for eleven of the variables as follows.

$$\begin{split} P_4 &= P_{10}P_{16} + P_2P_{24} + P_{12}P_{26} + P_{14}P_9, P_6 = -P_{14}P_{17} + P_2P_{20} - P_{10}P_{27} \\ -P_{12}P_7, \ P_8 &= -P_1P_{14} - P_{16}P_{20} - P_{24}P_{27} + P_{12}P_3, P_{11} = P_{15}P_{24} - P_1P_{26} \\ -P_{16}P_5 - P_3P_9, \ P_{13} &= P_{15}P_{20} + P_{17}P_3 + P_{27}P_5 + P_1P_7, P_{18} = -P_{20}P_{26} \\ -P_{10}P_3 + P_{14}P_5 - P_{24}P_7, \ P_{19} &= -P_{14}P_{15} - P_{26}P_{27} - P_2P_3 + P_{16}P_7, P_{21} \\ &= -P_{10}P_{15} + P_{17}P_{26} - P_2P_5 - P_7P_9, P_{22} = 1, P_{23} = -P_{12}P_{15} - P_{16}P_{17} - P_1P_2 \\ +P_{27}P_9, P_{25} &= P_1P_{10} - P_{17}P_{24} - P_{12}P_5 - P_{20}P_9. \end{split}$$

We obtained this chart by connecting the formulas for the Cartan cubic from  $\boxed{\text{GE96}}$  and  $\boxed{\text{L11}}$ . We then further solved for five of the variables to reduce their number while still keeping the equations relatively short. Then we looked for tangent vectors for the surfaces with  $d_1=1$  that lie in a codimension three subspace, by a multivariable Newton method starting at random points. The idea is that some of these would happen at values of  $d_2$  where the surface  $X=X_{1,d_2}$  acquires a node. After some trial and error we saw that solutions to

$$-27 - 34d_2 - 397d_2^2 - 172d_2^3 - 821d_2^4 + 190d_2^5 - 83d_2^6 + 16d_2^7 = 0$$

give singular surfaces. As in BF20, we then perturbed  $d_1$  slightly to  $1 + 10^{-20}$  to find a nearby point on the locus of singular surfaces. This led us to conjecture that generic points  $(d_1, d_2)$  on the curve

```
\begin{array}{l} 0 = -4d_1^3 + 8d_1^4 - 4d_1^5 - 12d_1^2d_2 - 16d_1^3d_2 + 28d_1^4d_2 - 39d_1^5d_2 + 12d_1^6d_2 - 12d_1d_2^2 \\ -28d_1^2d_2^2 - 54d_1^3d_2^2 + 78d_1^4d_2^2 - 34d_1^5d_2^2 + 28d_1^6d_2^2 - 12d_1^7d_2^2 - 4d_2^3 \\ -39d_1d_2^3 - 34d_1^2d_2^3 - 277d_1^3d_2^3 + 192d_1^4d_2^3 - 277d_1^5d_2^3 + 54d_1^6d_2^3 - 16d_1^7d_2^3 \\ +4d_1^8d_2^3 - 8d_2^4 - 28d_1d_2^4 - 78d_1^2d_2^4 - 192d_1^3d_2^4 + 192d_1^5d_2^4 - 78d_1^6d_2^4 \\ +28d_1^7d_2^4 - 8d_1^8d_2^4 - 4d_2^5 - 16d_1d_2^5 - 54d_1^2d_2^5 - 277d_1^3d_2^5 - 192d_1^4d_2^5 \\ -277d_1^5d_2^5 + 34d_1^6d_2^5 - 39d_1^7d_2^5 + 4d_1^8d_2^5 + 12d_1d_2^6 + 28d_1^2d_2^6 + 34d_1^3d_2^6 \\ +78d_1^4d_2^6 + 54d_1^5d_2^6 - 28d_1^6d_2^6 + 12d_1^7d_2^6 - 12d_1^2d_2^7 - 39d_1^3d_2^7 - 28d_1^4d_2^7 \\ -16d_1^5d_2^7 + 12d_1^6d_1^7 + 4d_1^3d_2^8 + 8d_1^4d_2^8 + 4d_1^5d_2^8 \end{array}
```

give nodal  $X_{d_1,d_2}$ .

We then looked for singular points of this curve. There were several such points, one of which was a cusp of the curve. We focused our attention on it and discovered a surface  $X_{d_1,d_2}$  with 39  $A_2$  singularities. Specifically, both  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  can be given as roots of

 $0 = 2187 + 7290d + 23433d^2 + 21640d^3 + 66393d^4 - 21640d^5 + 23433d^6 - 7290d^7 + 2187d^8$  approximately given by  $(d_1, d_2) \approx (1.93 + 2.30 \,\mathrm{i}, 0.0125 - 0.515 \,\mathrm{i})$ . Of course, the same is true for all of the Galois conjugates of this pair. From now on we will call this surface  $X_0$ .

We observed that four of the Galois conjugate pairs of  $(d_1, d_2)$  give isomorphic surfaces. To see that, we noticed that the scheme  $X_{d_1,d_2}$  cut out by (3) is isomorphic to  $X_{-1/d_2,d_1}$  under the coordinate change

(4) 
$$(t_1, \ldots, t_{12})$$
  
 $\mapsto (d_2t_5, t_{10}, d_2t_2, -d_1t_7, t_{12}, t_4, -d_1d_2t_9, -d_1d_2t_1, d_2t_6, -d_1t_{11}, -d_1d_2t_3, -d_1t_8).$ 

The idea behind it was to use  $i \to 5i \mod 13$ , and we heavily relied on Mathematica computations; see BBF20+, Section2.nb].

We then used the symmetry 4 to average the  $C_{13}$ -invariants of the coordinate ring of the surface  $X_0$  suspected to have  $A_2$  singularities, to get  $X_0/C_{13}$  defined in the 13-dimensional weighted projective space  $W\mathbb{P}(2^4,3^{10})$  by 9 equations of degree five and 29 equations of degree six. Due to the above symmetrization, the coefficients were in the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ .

2.4. Finding singular points. It was not entirely trivial to find the singular points of  $X_0/C_{13}$ . We did it by calculating a degree 12 equation in the first four variables which gives a (non-normal) image of  $X_0/C_{13}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . Then we looked for its curves of singularities by finding multiple singular points on random hyperplane cuts. Then we have looked for singular points outside of the curve of singularities, and indeed hit upon  $A_2$  singularities. We were then able to verify that these were the only singularities by computing the degree of the singular locus over a finite field. As in the case of  $\overline{\mathrm{BF20}}$ , the  $A_2$  singularities were not defined over the quadratic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , but a coordinate change gave us a model of  $X_0/C_{13} \subset W\mathbb{P}^{13}$  still defined over  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$  and with three singular points defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

### 3. Constructing the fake projective plane

3.1. Constructing the triple cover. By the work of Keum Ke12, the surface  $X_0/C_{13}$  admits a Galois triple cover which is a fake projective plane. In this, it is very similar to the situation in  $\overline{BF20}$  and we employed the same general method. It was useful that in both cases there was an additional order three automorphism  $\sigma$  because the FPP had a  $C_3 \times C_3$  group of automorphisms.

In order to construct a smooth Galois triple cover of  $X_0/C_{13}$  we need to find Weyl divisors on  $X_0/C_{13}$  which are not Cartier. The smallest effective Weyl divisors are images of elements of the linear system |4H| on the fake projective plane which are eigenvectors for the covering action, where H is an ample generator (modulo torsion) of the Picard group of  $\mathbb{P}^2_{fake}$  such that 3H=K. These images  $D_1$ ,  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  are further permuted by the order three automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $X_0/C_{13}$ . The key idea is that  $3D_1$ ,  $3D_2$ ,  $3D_3$  and  $D_1 + D_2 + D_3$  are Cartier divisors on  $X_0/C_{13}$ ; in fact they are zeroes of sections of  $4K_{X_0/C_{13}}$ , which we denote by f,  $\sigma(f)$ ,  $\sigma^2(f)$  and d respectively. After scaling, these sections satisfy

$$f\,\sigma(f)\sigma^2(f) = d^3$$

and one can try to find them by viewing the above as a system of cubic equations on the coefficients of f and d. Moreover f and d should have certain behavior on the exceptional lines at the blowup of  $A_2$  singularities, namely the proper preimage of f=0 should intersect one of the exceptional lines of the blowup at a triple point, while the proper preimage of d=0 intersects it once at the same point. We refer the reader to  $\overline{BF20}$  for details.

The nature of  $X_0/C_{13}$  made the computations more challenging. In particular, at some point we had to work with random points on the surface computed with  $6 \times 10^4$  digits of accuracy. The equations for f and d had coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$  which were about  $1.5 \times 10^4$  digits long. As in  $\overline{\text{BF20}}$ , we solved it over a finite field of 19 elements, but now we used a p-adic version of the Newton's method to quickly gain the needed accuracy.

After finding f and d, we added  $(\sigma(f)/f)^{\frac{1}{3}}$  to the field of rational functions of  $X_0/C_{13}$  and computed the sections of the bicanonical linear system in terms of appropriate linear systems on  $X_0/C_{13}$ . Once the triple cover  $\mathbb{P}^2_{fake}$  was constructed, we used the fixed points of the automorphisms of  $\mathbb{P}^2_{fake}$  to get a basis with nicer equations, only about 100 digits long coefficients; see  $\boxed{BBF20+}$  Section3.nb] for details. The final equations can be found in  $\boxed{BBF20+}$  EqsFPPwithrr], with rr denoting  $\sqrt{-2}$ .

We checked that the Galois triple cover of  $X_0/C_{13}$  is a fake projective plane by essentially the same methods as in <u>BK19</u>, with minor innovations. As before, to verify smoothness of the surface cut out by these equations, we looked at the reduction modulo a prime. Then in theory one wants to argue that size 7 minors of the  $10 \times 84$  Jacobian matrix have no common zeroes on the surface. Of course, it is impossible to even store all of these minors, so the idea was to take some sufficiently general linear combinations thereof. Namely, we multiplied the Jacobian matrix on the left and on the right by random invertible matrices modulo the prime in question and took the leading minor of the matrix. We repeated it three times and saw that these three elements of the Jacobian ideal, together with the original ideal, have trivial Hilbert polynomial. This computation was done in Magma; see <u>BBF20+</u>, CheckSmoothness] for details.

To pay homage to the theorem-proof style of exposition we will present the proof of Theorem [1.1] from Section [1]. Note that it is based heavily on computer calculations.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 This  $\mathbb{P}^2_{fake}$  is labeled by  $(C18, p = 3, \emptyset, d_3D_3)$  in the classification of  $\overline{CS11+}$ , since it is the only one with an automorphism group that contains  $(C_3)^2$  and a Picard group that contains  $C_{13}$ .

3.2. **Open questions.** Let us now discuss open problems related to this construction.

The first question is how to verify that the special cuts  $X_{d_1,d_2}$  of  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  are simply connected, which we strongly suspect. This would imply that  $\pi_1(X_{d_1,d_2}/C_{13}) = C_{13}$ , as opposed to only having  $\pi_1$  with a  $C_{13}$  quotient. It might perhaps follow from our construction and  $\overline{\text{CS11+}}$ , but a more direct argument is desirable. Unfortunately, since these are not complete intersections, the Lefschetz Hyperplane theorem cannot be applied, so other methods are needed.

A related question is how to construct non- $C_3$ -invariant deformations of  $X_{d_1,d_2}$ . It looks like they will no longer be cuts of  $\mathbb{OP}^2$  but perhaps one can get them by carefully examining equation (2). This has no bearing on the topic of fake projective planes, but these surfaces would be of independent interest.

The quotient of the fake projective plane  $(C18, p = 3, \emptyset, d_3D_3)$  by  $(C_3)^2$  is also covered by  $(C18, p = 3, \{2I\})$ . This fake projective plane in turn covers a surface  $(C18, p = 3, \{2\})$ , which is covered by three other fake projective planes. The method of [BF20] is, unfortunately, not quite applicable here, so how do we find (the equations of) these other surfaces?

It is known from CS11+ that  $(C18, p = 3, \emptyset, d_3D_3)$  has Picard group  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_{13}$ . While the  $C_{13}$  part can be inferred from our construction (even though it may not be entirely trivial to follow), the other two factors are mysterious. It would be interesting to see them explicitly, and they may be useful in answering both the previous and the next questions.

A perennial question is how one can reduce the size of the coefficients in the equations of a fake projective plane. There are currently only ad hoc tools that are not very successful, except in the BK19 case.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our computations relied heavily on the Mathematica software package Math and to some extent on Julia Jul, Macaulay2 Mac and Magma Magl. We thank John Cremona for helping us access the number theory server at the University of Warwick. We thank the anonymous referees for suggestions to improve the exposition.

#### References

- [BCP11] Ingrid Bauer, Fabrizio Catanese, and Roberto Pignatelli, Surfaces of general type with geometric genus zero: a survey, Complex and differential geometry, Springer Proc. Math., vol. 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 1–48, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-20300-8\_1. MR2964466
- [BBF20+] L. Borisov, A. Buch, and E. Fatighenti, A journey from the octonionic  $\mathbb{P}^2$  to a fake  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , Supplemental materials, http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~borisov/FPP-C13/.
- [BF20] L. Borisov and E. Fatighenti, New explicit constructions of surfaces of general type, arXiv: 2004.02637
- [BK19] Lev A. Borisov and JongHae Keum, Explicit equations of a fake projective plane, Duke Math. J. 169 (2020), no. 6, 1135–1162, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2019-0076. MR4085079
- [CS11] Donald I. Cartwright and Tim Steger, Enumeration of the 50 fake projective planes (English, with English and French summaries), C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 348 (2010), no. 1-2, 11–13, DOI 10.1016/j.crma.2009.11.016. MR2586735
- [CS11+] http://www.maths.usyd.edu.au/u/donaldc/fakeprojectiveplanes/registerofgps.txt.
- [GE96] Noam D. Elkies and Benedict H. Gross, The exceptional cone and the Leech lattice, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 14 (1996), 665–698, DOI 10.1155/S1073792896000426. MR1411589
- [H11] Zheng Hua, Classification of free actions on complete intersections of four quadrics, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 15 (2011), no. 4, 973–990. MR2929680
- [Ju] Jeff Bezanson, Alan Edelman, Stefan Karpinski, and Viral B. Shah, Julia: a fresh approach to numerical computing, SIAM Rev. 59 (2017), no. 1, 65–98, DOI 10.1137/141000671. MR3605826
- [Ke08] Jonghae Keum, Quotients of fake projective planes, Geom. Topol. 12 (2008), no. 4, 2497–2515, DOI 10.2140/gt.2008.12.2497. MR 2443971
- [Ke12] Jonghae Keum, Toward a geometric construction of fake projective planes, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. 23 (2012), no. 2, 137–155, DOI 10.4171/RLM/622. MR2924897
- [L11] Jacob Lurie, On simply laced Lie algebras and their minuscule representations, Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001), no. 3, 515–575, DOI 10.1007/PL00013217. MR1854697
- [Mac] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2.
- [Mag] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, DOI 10.1006/jsco.1996.0125. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). MR1484478
- [Math] Mathematica 11.1, Wolfram Research, Inc., 2016.

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854  $Email\ address:$  borisov@math.rutgers.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NEW JERSEY 08854  $Email\ address:$  asbuch@math.rutgers.edu

Dipartimento di Matematica "'Guido Castelnuovo", Sapienza Università di Roma,  $00185\ \mathrm{Roma},\ \mathrm{Italy}$ 

 $Email\ address{:}\ {\tt enrico.fatighenti@uniroma1.it}$