

3. MATRIX ITERATIVE METHODS

Matrix iterative methods are especially useful for the solution of linear systems involving large sparse matrices (i.e., many zero entries).

A large class of such methods can be defined as follows: Write $A = N - P$, where N and P are matrices of the same order as A , which we shall choose to have appropriate properties. The system $Ax = b$ is then written $Nx = Px + b$ and we define a simple iteration scheme by:

$$Nx^{n+1} = Px^n + b, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where x^0 denotes an initial guess. We assume that $\det N \neq 0$, so that the iteration scheme produces a unique sequence of vectors $\{x^n\}$. We also choose the matrix N so that the system of equations $Ny = z$ is easily solved (e.g., N may be diagonal or upper or lower triangular).

To describe some examples of this procedure, we write $A = L + U + D$ where L denotes the matrix whose elements below the main diagonal are equal to those of A , with the remaining elements chosen to be zero. The matrix U is an upper triangular matrix that coincides with the upper triangular elements of A , and D is a diagonal matrix that coincides with the diagonal entries of A .

The Jacobi method (or method of simultaneous displacements) chooses $N = D$, $P = -(L + U)$ so

$$x^{n+1} = -D^{-1}(L + U)x^n + D^{-1}b, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where we have assumed the diagonal entries of A are non-zero (otherwise interchange rows and columns to get an equivalent system with this property).

In terms of components, we have

$$x_i^{n+1} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_j^n \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

We note from these equations that some components of x^{n+1} are known, but not used, while computing the remaining components. The Gauss-Seidel method (or method of successive displacements) is a modification of the Jacobi method in which all the latest components are used, as they are computed. This scheme is:

$$x_i^{n+1} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{n+1} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^n \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The splitting of A that gives this procedure is $N = L + D$, $P = -U$, so that

$$x^{n+1} = -(L + D)^{-1}Ux^n + (L + D)^{-1}b, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

To consider the convergence of schemes of this form, i.e.,

$$x^{n+1} = N^{-1}Px^n + N^{-1}b, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

we set $M = N^{-1}P$. Since $Nx = Px + b$, we have $x = N^{-1}Px + N^{-1}b$. Define the error vector $e^n = x - x^n$. Then, subtracting equations, we have

$$e^{n+1} = N^{-1}Px^n \equiv Me^n.$$

Iterating this equation, we get

$$e^{n+1} = Me^n = M^2e^{n-1} = \dots = M^{n+1}e^0,$$

so $e^n = M^n e^0$. Thus, a sufficient condition for convergence of the iteration schemes, i.e., that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e^n = 0$ is that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M^n = 0$. If the method is to converge for all choices of e^0 , then this condition is also necessary. A matrix M that satisfies this condition is called a *convergent* matrix. The basic results characterizing convergent matrices are the following.

Theorem 5. *The matrix M is convergent if and only if all the eigenvalues of M are less than one in absolute value, i.e., $\rho(M) < 1$.*

A sufficient condition for convergence, that is often easier to apply is:

Theorem 6. *The matrix M is convergent if for any matrix norm, $\|M\| < 1$.*

Hence, if $M = (m_{ij})$, then M will be convergent if

$$\|M\|_\infty = \max_i \sum_{j=1}^n |m_{ij}| < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \|M\|_1 = \max_j \sum_{i=1}^n |m_{ij}| < 1.$$

A simple application of this result is the following:

Theorem 7. *If A is strictly diagonally dominant, then Jacobi's method converges.*

Proof. By hypothesis,

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}|/|a_{ii}| < 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Recall that for Jacobi's method, the iteration matrix $M = -D^{-1}(L+U)$, i.e., $m_{ij} = -a_{ij}/a_{ii}$ when $i \neq j$ and $m_{ij} = 0$ when $i = j$. Hence,

$$\|M\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |m_{ij}| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}|/|a_{ii}| < 1.$$

□

Although the proof is more complicated (we use an induction argument), one can also show:

Theorem 8. *If A is strictly diagonally dominant, then the Gauss-Seidel method converges.*

Some other convergence results for these methods are:

Theorem 9. *(i) If A is Hermitian and positive definite, then the Gauss-Seidel method converges. (ii) If A is Hermitian and A and $2D - A$ are positive definite, then Jacobi's method converges. (iii) If A is irreducible and weakly diagonally dominant, then the Gauss-Seidel method and Jacobi's method converge. (iv) If A is an L -matrix (i.e., $a_{ii} > 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $a_{ij} \leq 0, i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n$), then the Gauss-Seidel method converges if and only if the Jacobi method converges. If both converge, then the Gauss-Seidel method converges faster, i.e., $\rho(GS) < \rho(J)$, where $\rho(A)$ denotes the spectral radius of the matrix A .*

We next consider a method for accelerating the convergence of iterative methods. We define the iteration:

$$x_i^{n+1} = (1 - \omega)x_i^n + \frac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij}x_j^{n+1} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij}x_j^n \right], \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where ω is a real parameter called the relaxation factor. Note $\omega = 1$ gives the Gauss-Seidel method. The choice $\omega < 1$ is called under-relaxation, while $\omega > 1$ is called over-relaxation. The usual strategy is to choose $\omega > 1$ and the resulting method is called SOR (successive over-relaxation). In matrix form, we have

$$(D + L\omega)x^{n+1} = (1 - \omega)Dx^n + \omega[b - Ux^n],$$

which we may rewrite as

$$x^{n+1} = (D + L\omega)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)D - \omega U]x^n + \omega(D + L\omega)^{-1}b.$$

The motivation for this method comes from the proof of convergence of the general iteration scheme. Recall, we showed that $e^{n+1} = Me^n$, where M is the iteration matrix. Hence, $\|e^{n+1}\| \leq \|M\| \|e^n\|$, so we would like $\|M\|$ as small as possible to reduce the error as much as possible at each iteration.

When M is symmetric and $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_2$, then $\|M\| = \rho(M) = \max_i |\lambda_i|$. In this case, we would like to choose ω to minimize $\rho(M)$. However, the best choice of ω depends on A and is difficult to calculate except in some special cases. However, there are some known convergence results.

Theorem 10. *If SOR converges, then $0 < \omega < 2$.*

Proof. The iteration matrix for SOR is given by

$$M = (D + L\omega)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)D - \omega U] = (I + D^{-1}L\omega)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)I - \omega D^{-1}U].$$

We will need the following facts about determinants.

$$\det AB = \det A \det B, \quad \det A = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n, \quad \det(L + D) = \det(D + U) = d_{11} \cdots d_{nn}.$$

Now

$$\rho(M) = \max_i |\lambda_i| \geq |\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n|^{1/n} = |\det M|^{1/n}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\det M &= \det[(I + D^{-1}L\omega)^{-1}] \cdot \det[(1 - \omega)I - \omega D^{-1}U] \\ &= \frac{\det[(1 - \omega)I - \omega D^{-1}U]}{\det[(I + D^{-1}L\omega)]} = 1 \cdot (1 - \omega)^n = (1 - \omega)^n,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $(I + D^{-1}L\omega)$ and $[(1 - \omega)I - \omega D^{-1}U]$ are triangular matrices. So

$$\rho(M) \geq |\det M|^{1/n} = |(1 - \omega)^n|^{1/n} = |1 - \omega|.$$

Hence $\rho(M) > 1$ unless $0 < \omega < 2$ and so if SOR converges, then $0 < \omega < 2$. \square

Theorem 11. *If $0 < \omega < 2$ and A is real and positive definite, then SOR converges.*

The usual choice is $1 < \omega < 2$.

We can also define symmetric versions of the Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, and SOR methods. For example, if we first define a backward version of the Gauss-Seidel method, i.e.,

$$x^{n+1} = -(U + D)^{-1}Lx^n + (U + D)^{-1}b,$$

then a symmetric version can be defined by combining the forward and backward versions as follows.

$$x^{n+1/2} = -(L + D)^{-1}Ux^n + (L + D)^{-1}b, \quad x^{n+1} = -(U + D)^{-1}Lx^{n+1/2} + (U + D)^{-1}b.$$

Eliminating $x^{n+1/2}$, we get

$$x^{n+1} = (U + D)^{-1}L(L + D)^{-1}Ux^n + (U + D)^{-1}[I - L(L + D)^{-1}]b.$$

Symmetric versions of the other methods are defined in a similar way.