

Notes on Matrix Exponentials

Generalities.

A system of autonomous linear differential equations can be written as

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = AY$$

where A is an n by n matrix and $Y = Y(t)$ is a vector listing the n dependent variables. (In most of what we'll do, we take $n = 2$, since we study mainly systems of 2 equations, but the theory is the same for all n .)

If we were dealing with just one linear equation

$$y' = ay$$

then the general solution of the equation would be e^{at} . It turns out that *also for vector equations the solution looks like this, provided that we interpret what we mean by " e^{At} " when A is a matrix instead of just a scalar.* How to define e^{At} ? The most obvious procedure is to take the power series which defines the exponential, which as you surely remember from Calculus is

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}x^k + \cdots$$

and just formally plug-in $x = At$. (The answer should be a matrix, so we have to think of the term "1" as the identity matrix.) In summary, we *define*:

$$e^{At} = I + At + \frac{1}{2}(At)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(At)^3 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}(At)^k + \cdots$$

where we understand the series as defining a series for each coefficient. One may prove that:

$$e^{A(t+s)} = e^{At}e^{As} \text{ for all } s, t. \quad (1)$$

and therefore, since (obviously) $e^{A0} = I$, using $s = -t$ gives

$$e^{-At} = (e^{At})^{-1} \quad (2)$$

(which is the matrix version of $e^{-x} = 1/e^x$). We now prove that this matrix exponential has the following property:

$$\frac{de^{At}}{dt} = Ae^{At} = e^{At}A \quad (3)$$

for every t .

Proof Let us differentiate the series term by term:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{de^{At}}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(I + At + \frac{1}{2}(At)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(At)^3 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}(At)^k + \cdots \right) \\ &= 0 + A + A^2t + \frac{1}{2}A^3t^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{(k-1)!}A^k t^{k-1} + \cdots \\ &= A \left(I + At + \frac{1}{2}(At)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(At)^3 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}(At)^k + \cdots \right) \\ &= Ae^{At} \end{aligned}$$

and a similar proof, factoring A on the right instead of to the left, gives the equality between the derivative and $e^{At}A$. (Small print: the differentiation term-by-term can be justified using facts about term by term differentiation of power series inside their domain of convergence.) The property (3) is the fundamental property of exponentials of matrices. It provides us immediately with this corollary:

The initial value problem $\frac{dY}{dt} = AY$, $Y(0) = Y_0$ has the unique solution $Y(t) = e^{At}Y_0$.

We can, indeed, verify that the formula $Y(t) = e^{At}Y_0$ defines a solution of the IVP:

$$\frac{dY(t)}{dt} = \frac{de^{At}Y_0}{dt} = \frac{de^{At}}{dt}Y_0 = (Ae^{At})Y_0 = A(e^{At}Y_0) = AY(t).$$

(That it is the unique, i.e., the only, solution is proved as follows: if there were another solution $Z(t)$ of the same IVP, then we could let $W(t) = Y(t) - Z(t)$ and notice that $W' = Y' - Z' = A(Y - Z) = AW$, and $W(0) = Y(0) - Z(0) = 0$. Letting $V(t) = e^{-At}W(t)$, and applying the product rule, we have that

$$V' = -Ae^{-At}W + e^{-At}W' = -e^{-At}AW + e^{-At}AW = 0$$

so that V must be constant. Since $V(0) = W(0) = 0$, we have that V must be identically zero. Therefore $W(t) = e^{At}V(t)$ is also identically zero, which because $W = Y - Z$, means that the functions Y and Z are one and the same, which is what we claimed.)

Although we started by declaring Y to be a vector, the equation $Y' = AY$ makes sense as long as Y can be multiplied on the left by A , i.e., whenever Y is a matrix with n rows (and any number of columns). In particular, e^{At} itself satisfies this equation. The result giving uniqueness of solutions of initial value problems applies to matrices since each column satisfies the equation and has the corresponding column of the initial data as its initial value. The value of e^{At} at $t = 0$ is the n by n identity matrix. This initial value problem characterizes e^{At} . Verification of these properties is an excellent check of a calculation of e^{At} . This plays an important role in other notes describing matrix exponentials containing trigonometric functions.

So we have, in theory, solved the general linear differential equation. A potential problem is, however, that it is not always easy to calculate e^{At} .

Some Examples. We start with this example:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4}$$

We calculate the series by just multiplying A by t :

$$At = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 2t \end{pmatrix}$$

and now calculating the powers of At . Notice that, because At is a diagonal matrix, its powers are very easy to compute: we just take the powers of the diagonal entries (*why? if you don't understand, stop and think it over right now*). So, we get

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 2t \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (2t)^2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} t^3 & 0 \\ 0 & (2t)^3 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!} \begin{pmatrix} t^k & 0 \\ 0 & (2t)^k \end{pmatrix} + \cdots$$

and, just adding coordinate-wise, we obtain:

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + t + \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{6}t^3 + \dots + \frac{1}{k!}t^k + \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 + 2t + \frac{1}{2}(2t)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(2t)^3 + \dots + \frac{1}{k!}(2t)^k + \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

which gives us, finally, the conclusion that

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, in this very special case we obtained the exponential by just taking the exponentials of the diagonal elements and leaving the off-diagonal elements zero (observe that we did not end up with exponentials of the non-diagonal entries, since $e^0 = 1$, not 0).

In general, computing an exponential is a little more difficult than this, and it is not enough to just take exponentials of coefficients. Sometimes things that seem surprising (the first time that you see them) may happen. Let us take this example now:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

To start the calculation of the series, we multiply A by t :

$$At = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and again calculate the powers of At . This is a little harder than in the first example, but not too hard:

$$(At)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -t^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(At)^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t^3 \\ t^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(At)^4 = \begin{pmatrix} t^4 & 0 \\ 0 & t^4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(At)^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^5 \\ -t^5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(At)^6 = \begin{pmatrix} -t^6 & 0 \\ 0 & -t^6 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so on. We won't compute more, because by now you surely have recognized the pattern (*right?*). We add these up (not forgetting the factorials, of course):

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -t^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -t^2 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{3!} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t^3 \\ t^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{4!} \begin{pmatrix} t^4 & 0 \\ 0 & t^4 \end{pmatrix} + \dots$$

and, just adding each coordinate, we obtain:

$$e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^4}{4!} - \dots & t - \frac{t^3}{3!} + \frac{t^5}{5!} - \dots \\ -t + \frac{t^3}{3!} - \frac{t^5}{5!} + \dots & 1 - \frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{t^4}{4!} - \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

which gives us, finally, the conclusion that

$$e^{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}t} = e^{At} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is remarkable that trigonometric functions have appeared. Perhaps we made a mistake? How could we make sure? Well, let us *check* that property (3) holds (we'll check only the first equality, you can check the second one). We need to test that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\sin t) = \cos t, \text{ and } \frac{d}{dt}(\cos t) = -\sin t,$$

we know that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t & \cos t \\ -\cos t & -\sin t \end{pmatrix}$$

and, on the other hand, multiplying matrices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin t & \cos t \\ -\cos t & -\sin t \end{pmatrix}$$

so we have verified the equality (6).

As a last example, let us take this matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7)$$

Again we start by writing

$$At = \begin{pmatrix} t & t \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$$

and calculating the powers of At . It is easy to see that the powers are:

$$(At)^k = \begin{pmatrix} t^k & kt^k \\ 0 & t^k \end{pmatrix}$$

since this is obviously true for $k = 1$ and, recursively, we have

$$(At)^{k+1} = (At)^k A = \begin{pmatrix} t^k & kt^k \\ 0 & t^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & t \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^k t & t^k t + kt^k t \\ 0 & t^k t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^{k+1} & (k+1)t^{k+1} \\ 0 & t^{k+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{At} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} t^k/k! & kt^k/k! \\ 0 & t^k/k! \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{kt^k}{k!} \\ 0 & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} e^t & te^t \\ 0 & e^t \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

To summarize, we have worked out three examples:

- The first example (4) is a diagonal matrix, and we found that its exponential is obtained by taking exponentials of the diagonal entries.
- The second example (5) gave us an exponential matrix that was expressed in terms of trigonometric functions. Notice that this matrix has imaginary eigenvalues equal to i and $-i$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.
- The last example (7) gave us an exponential matrix which had a nonzero function in the (1,2)-position. Notice that this nonzero function was *not* just the exponential of the (1,2)-position in the original matrix. That exponential would give us an e^t term. Instead, we got a more complicated te^t term.

In a sense, these are all the possibilities. Exponentials of all two by two matrices can be obtained using functions of the form e^{at} , te^{at} , and trigonometric functions (possibly multiplied by e^{at}). Indeed, exponentials of any size matrices, not just 2 by 2, can be expressed using just polynomial combinations of t , scalar exponentials, and trigonometric functions. We will not quite prove this fact here; you should be able to find the details in any linear algebra book.

Calculating exponentials using power series is OK for very simple examples, and important to do a few times, so that you understand what this all means. But in practice, one uses very different methods for computing matrix exponentials. (Remember how you first saw the definition of derivative using limits of incremental quotients, and computed some derivatives in this way, but soon learned how to use “the Calculus” to calculate derivatives of complicated expressions using the multiplication rule, chain rule, and so on.)

Computing Matrix Exponentials.

We wish to calculate e^{At} . The key concept for simplifying the computation of matrix exponentials is that of *matrix similarity*. Suppose that we have found two matrices, Λ and S , where S is invertible, such that this formula holds:

$$A = S\Lambda S^{-1} \tag{8}$$

(if (8) holds, one says that A and Λ are similar matrices). Then, we claim, it is true that also:

$$e^{At} = S e^{\Lambda t} S^{-1} \tag{9}$$

for all t . Therefore, if the matrix Λ is one for which $e^{\Lambda t}$ is easy to find (for example, if it is a diagonal matrix), we can then multiply by S and S^{-1} to get e^{At} . To see why (9) is a consequence of (8), we just notice that $At = S(\Lambda t)S^{-1}$ and we have the following “telescopic” property for powers:

$$(At)^k = (S(\Lambda t)S^{-1})(S(\Lambda t)S^{-1}) \cdots (S(\Lambda t)S^{-1}) = S(\Lambda t)^k S^{-1}$$

since the terms may be regrouped so that all the in-between pairs $S^{-1}S$ cancel out. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{At} &= I + At + \frac{1}{2}(At)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(At)^3 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}(At)^k + \cdots \\ &= I + S(\Lambda t)S^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}S(\Lambda t)^2 S^{-1} + \frac{1}{6}S(\Lambda t)^3 S^{-1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}S(\Lambda t)^k S^{-1} + \cdots \\ &= S \left[I + \Lambda t + \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda t)^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\Lambda t)^3 + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}(\Lambda t)^k + \cdots \right] S^{-1} \\ &= S e^{\Lambda t} S^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

as we claimed.

The basic theorem is this one:

Theorem. *For every n by n matrix A with entries in the complex numbers, one can find an invertible matrix S , and an upper triangular matrix Λ such that (8) holds.*

Remember that an upper triangular matrix is one that has the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{n-1} & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

where the stars are any numbers. The numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ turn out to be the eigenvalues of A .

There are two reasons that this theorem is interesting. First, it provides a way to compute exponentials, because it is not difficult to find exponentials of upper triangular matrices (the example (7) is actually quite typical) and second because it has important theoretical consequences.

Although we don't need more than the theorem stated above, there are two stronger theorems that you may meet elsewhere. One is the “Jordan canonical form” theorem, which provides a matrix Λ that is not only upper triangular but which has an even more special structure. Jordan canonical forms are theoretically important because they are essentially unique (that is what “canonical” means in this context). Hence, the Jordan form allows you to determine whether or not two matrices are similar. However, it is not very useful from a computational point of view, because they are what is known in numerical analysis as “numerically unstable”, meaning that small perturbations of A can give one totally different Jordan forms. A second strengthening is the “Schur unitary triangularization theorem” which says that one can pick the matrix S to be *unitary*. (A unitary matrix is a matrix with entries in the complex numbers whose inverse is the complex conjugate of

its transpose. For matrices S with real entries, then we recognize it as an *orthogonal* matrix. For matrices with complex entries, unitary matrices turn out to be more useful than other generalization of orthogonal matrices that one may propose.) Schur's theorem is extremely useful in practice, and is implemented in many numerical algorithms.

We do not prove the theorem here in general, but only show it for $n = 2$; the general case can be proved in much the same way, by means of a recursive process.

We start the proof by remembering that every matrix has at least one eigenvalue, let us call it λ , and an associate eigenvector, v . That is to say, v is a vector **different from zero**, and

$$Av = \lambda v. \tag{10}$$

If you stumble on a number λ and a vector v that you believe to an eigenvalue and its eigenvector, you should *immediately* see if (10) is satisfied, since that is an easy calculation. Numerical methods for finding eigenvalues and eigenvectors take this approach.

For theoretical purposes, it is useful to note that the the eigenvalues λ can be characterized as the roots of the characteristic equation

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = 0.$$

For two-dimensional systems, this is the same as the equation

$$\lambda^2 - \text{tr}(A)\lambda + \det(A) = 0$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= a + d \\ \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} &= ad - bc. \end{aligned}$$

Now, quadratic equations are easy to solve, so this approach is also computationally useful for 2 by 2 matrices.

There are, for 2 by 2 matrices with *real* entries, either two real eigenvalues, one real eigenvalue with multiplicity two, or two complex eigenvalues. In the last case, the two complex eigenvalues must be conjugates of each other.

If you have λ , an eigenvector associated to an eigenvalue λ is then found by solving the linear system

$$(A - \lambda I)v = 0$$

(since λ is a root of the characteristic equation, there are an infinite number of solutions; we pick any nonzero one).

With an eigenvalue λ and eigenvector v found, we next pick *any* vector w with the property that the two vectors v and w are linearly independent. For example, if

$$v = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

and a is not zero, we can take

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(what would you pick for w if a were zero?). Now, since the set $\{v, w\}$ forms a basis (this is the key idea for all n : once you know v , you need to find $n - 1$ other vectors to fill out a basis containing v) of two-dimensional space, we can find coefficients c and d so that

$$Aw = cv + dw. \tag{11}$$

We can summarize both (10) and (11) in one matrix equation:

$$A(v\ w) = (v\ w) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $(v\ w)$ denotes the 2 by 2 matrix whose columns are the vectors v and w . To complete the construction, we let $S = (v\ w)$ and

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & c \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$AS = S\Lambda$$

which is the same as what we wanted to prove, namely $A = S\Lambda S^{-1}$. Actually, we can even say more. It is a fundamental fact in linear algebra that, if two matrices are similar, then their eigenvalues must be the same. Now, the eigenvalues of Λ are λ and d , because the eigenvalues of any triangular matrix are its diagonal elements. Therefore, since A and Λ are similar, d must be also an eigenvalue of A .

The proof of Schur's theorem follows the same pattern, except for having fewer choices for v and w .

The Three Cases for $n = 2$. The following special cases are worth discussing in detail:

1. A has two different real eigenvalues.
2. A has two complex conjugate eigenvalues.
3. A has a repeated real eigenvalue.

In cases 1 and 2, one can always find a *diagonal* matrix Λ . To see why this is true, let us go back to the proof, but now, instead of taking just any linearly independent vector w , let us pick a special one, namely an eigenvector corresponding to the other eigenvalue of A :

$$Aw = \mu w.$$

This vector is always linearly independent of v , so the proof can be completed as before. Notice that Λ is now diagonal, because $d = \mu$ and $c = 0$.

To prove that v and w are linearly independent if they are eigenvectors for different eigenvalues, assume the contrary and show that it leads to a contradiction. Thus, suppose that $\alpha v + \beta w = 0$. Apply A to get

$$\alpha\lambda v + \beta\mu w = A(\alpha v + \beta w) = A(0) = 0.$$

On the other hand, multiplying $\alpha v + \beta w = 0$ by λ we would have $\alpha\lambda v + \beta\lambda w = 0$. Subtracting gives $\beta(\lambda - \mu)w = 0$, and as $\lambda - \mu \neq 0$ we would arrive at the conclusion that $\beta w = 0$. But w ,

being an eigenvector, is required to be nonzero, so we would have to have $\beta = 0$. Plugging this back into our linear dependence would give $\alpha v = 0$, which would require $\alpha = 0$ as well. This shows us that there are no nonzero coefficients α and β for which $\alpha v + \beta w = 0$, which means that the eigenvectors v and w are linearly independent.

Notice that in cases 1 and 3, the matrices Λ and S are both real. In case 1, we will interpret the solutions with initial conditions on the lines that contain v and w as “straight line solutions”.

In case 2, the matrices Λ and S are, in general, not real. Note that, in case 2, if $Av = \lambda v$, taking complex conjugates gives

$$A\bar{v} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{v}$$

and we note that

$$\bar{\lambda} \neq \lambda$$

because λ is not real. So, we can always pick w to be the conjugate of v . It will turn out that solutions can be re-expressed in terms of trigonometric functions — remember example (5) — as we’ll see in the next section.

Now let’s consider Case 3 (the repeated real eigenvalue). We have that

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & c \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

so we can also write $\Lambda = \lambda I + cN$, where N is the following matrix:

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that:

$$(\lambda I + cN)^2 = (\lambda I)^2 + c^2 N^2 + 2\lambda cN = \lambda^2 I + 2\lambda cN$$

(because $N^2 = 0$) and, for the general power k , recursively:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I + cN)^k &= (\lambda^{k-1} I + (k-1)\lambda^{k-2} cN) (\lambda I + cN) \\ &= \lambda^k I + (k-1)\lambda^{k-1} cN + \lambda^{k-1} cN + (k-1)\lambda^{k-2} c^2 N^2 \\ &= \lambda^k I + k\lambda^{k-1} cN \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(\lambda I + cN)^k t^k = (\lambda^k I + k\lambda^{k-1} cN) t^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^k t^k & k\lambda^{k-1} c t^k \\ 0 & \lambda^k t^k \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore

$$e^{\Lambda t} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & cte^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

because $0 + ct + (2\lambda c)t^2/2 + (3\lambda^2 c)t^3/6! + \dots = cte^{\lambda t}$. (This generalizes the special case in example (7).)

A Shortcut. If we just want to find the form of the general solution of $Y' = AY$, we do not need to actually calculate the exponential of A and the inverse of the matrix S .

Let us first take the cases of different eigenvalues (real or complex, that is, cases 1 or 2, it doesn't matter which one). As we saw, Λ can be taken to be the diagonal matrix consisting of these eigenvalues (which we call here λ and μ instead of λ_1 and λ_2), and $S = (v w)$ just lists the two eigenvectors as its columns. We then know that the solution of every initial value problem $Y' = AY$, $Y(0) = Y_0$ will be of the following form:

$$Y(t) = e^{At}Y_0 = S e^{\Lambda t} S^{-1}Y_0 = (v w) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\mu t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = a e^{\lambda t}v + b e^{\mu t}w$$

where we just wrote $S^{-1}Y_0$ as a column vector of general coefficients a and b . In conclusion:

The general solution of $Y' = AY$, when A has two eigenvalues λ and μ with respective eigenvectors v and w , is of the form

$$a e^{\lambda t}v + b e^{\mu t}w \tag{13}$$

for some constants a and b .

So, one approach to solving IVP's is to first find eigenvalues and eigenvectors, write the solution in the above general form, and then plug-in the initial condition in order to figure out what are the right constants.

In the case of non-real eigenvalues, recall that we showed that the two eigenvalues must be conjugates of each other, and the two eigenvectors may be picked to be conjugates of each other. Let us show now that we can write (13) in a form which does not involve any complex numbers. In order to do so, we start by decomposing the first vector function which appears in (13) into its real and imaginary parts:

$$e^{\lambda t}v = Y_1(t) + iY_2(t) \tag{14}$$

(let us not worry for now about what the two functions Y_1 and Y_2 look like). Since μ is the conjugate of λ and w is the conjugate of v , the second term is:

$$e^{\mu t}w = Y_1(t) - iY_2(t). \tag{15}$$

So we can write the general solution shown in (13) also like this:

$$a(Y_1 + iY_2) + b(Y_1 - iY_2) = (a + b)Y_1 + i(a - b)Y_2. \tag{16}$$

Now, it is easy to see that a and b must be conjugates of each other. (Do this as an optional homework problem. Use the fact that these two coefficients are the components of $S^{-1}Y_0$, and the fact that Y_0 is real and that the two columns of S are conjugates of each other.) This means that *both coefficients $a + b$ and $i(a - b)$ are real numbers*. Calling these coefficients " k_1 " and " k_2 ", we can summarize the complex case like this:

The general solution of $Y' = AY$, when A has a non-real eigenvalue λ with respective eigenvector v , is of the form

$$k_1 Y_1(t) + k_2 Y_2(t) \tag{17}$$

for some real constants k_1 and k_2 . The functions Y_1 and Y_2 are found by the following procedure: calculate the product $e^{\lambda t}v$ and separate it into real and imaginary parts as in Equation (14).

What do Y_1 and Y_2 really look like? This is easy to answer using Euler's formula, which gives

$$e^{\lambda t} = e^{\alpha t + i\beta t} = e^{\alpha t}(\cos \beta t + i \sin \beta t) = e^{\alpha t} \cos \beta t + i e^{\alpha t} \sin \beta t$$

where α and β are the real and imaginary parts of λ respectively.

Finally, in case 3 (repeated eigenvalues) we can write, instead:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(t) &= e^{At}Y_0 = S e^{\Lambda t} S^{-1}Y_0 = (v \ w) \begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda t} & ct e^{\lambda t} \\ 0 & e^{\lambda t} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \\ &= a e^{\lambda t}v + b e^{\lambda t}(ctv + w). \end{aligned}$$

When $c = 0$ we have from $A = SAS^{-1}$ that A must have been the diagonal matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

to start with (because S and Λ commute). When $c \neq 0$, we can write $k_2 = bc$ and redefine w as $\frac{1}{c}w$. Note that then (11) becomes $Aw = v + \lambda w$, that is, $(A - \lambda I)w = v$. Any vector w with this property is linearly independent from v (why?).

So we conclude, for the case of repeated eigenvalues:

The general solution of $Y' = AY$, when A has a repeated (real) eigenvalue λ is either of the form $e^{\lambda t}Y_0$ (if A is a diagonal matrix) or, otherwise, is of the form

$$k_1 e^{\lambda t}v + k_2 e^{\lambda t}(tv + w) \tag{18}$$

for some real constants k_1 and k_2 , where v is an eigenvector corresponding to λ and w is any vector which satisfies $(A - \lambda I)w = v$.

Observe that $(A - \lambda I)^2w = (A - \lambda I)v = 0$. In general, one calls any nonzero vector such that $(A - \lambda I)^k w = 0$ a *generalized eigenvector* (of order k) of the matrix A (since, when $k = 1$, we have eigenvectors).

Forcing Terms. The use of matrix exponentials also helps explain much of what is done in chapter 4 (forced systems), and renders Laplace transforms unnecessary. Let us consider non-homogeneous linear differential equations of this type:

$$\frac{dY}{dt}(t) = AY(t) + u(t). \tag{19}$$

We wrote the arguments “ t ” just this one time, to emphasize that everything is a function of t , but from now on we will drop the t 's when they are clear from the context.

Let us write, *just as we did when discussing scalar linear equations*, $Y' - AY = u$. We consider the “integrating factor” $M(t) = e^{-At}$. Multiplying both sides of the equation by M , we have, since $(e^{-At}Y)' = e^{-At}Y' - e^{-At}AY$ (right?):

$$\frac{de^{-At}Y}{dt} = e^{-At}u.$$

Taking antiderivatives:

$$e^{-At}Y = \int_0^t e^{-As}u(s) ds + Y_0$$

for some constant vector Y_0 . Finally, multiplying by e^{-At} and remembering that $e^{-At}e^{At} = I$, we conclude:

$$Y(t) = e^{At}Y_0 + e^{At} \int_0^t e^{-As}u(s) ds. \quad (20)$$

This is sometimes called the “variation of parameters” form of the general solution of the forced equation (19). Of course, $Y_0 = Y(0)$ (just plug-in $t = 0$ on both sides). There are other notes on this topic.

Notice that, if the vector function $u(t)$ is a polynomial in t , then the integral in (20) will be a combination of exponentials and powers of t (integrate by parts). Similarly, if $u(t)$ is a combination of trigonometric functions, the integral will also combine trigonometric functions and polynomials. This observation justifies the “guesses” made for forced systems in chapter 4 (they are, of course, not guesses, but consequences of integration by parts).

Exercises.

- In each of the following, factor the matrix A into a product $S\Lambda S^{-1}$, with Λ diagonal:

a. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

b. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$

c. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -8 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$

d. $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

- For each of the matrices in Exercise 1, use the $S\Lambda S^{-1}$ factorization to calculate A^6 (do *not* just multiply A by itself).
- For each of the matrices in Exercise 1, use the $S\Lambda S^{-1}$ factorization to calculate e^{At} .

4. Calculate e^{At} for this matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

using the power series definition.

5. Consider these matrices:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and calculate e^{At} , e^{Bt} , and $e^{(A+B)t}$.

Answer, true or false: is $e^{At}e^{Bt} = e^{(A+B)t}$?

6. (Challenge problem) Show that, for any two matrices A and B , it is true that

$$e^{At}e^{Bt} = e^{(A+B)t} \text{ for all } t$$

if and only if $AB - BA = 0$. (The expression " $AB - BA$ " is called the "Lie bracket" of the two matrices A and B , and it plays a central role in the advanced theory of differential equations.)