

**MATHEMATICAL LOGIC: FALL 2009  
TAKE HOME EXAMINATION**

COLLABORATION WITH OTHER STUDENTS IS PERMITTED. HOWEVER, SOLUTIONS  
MUST BE WRITTEN INDIVIDUALLY AND ANY DISCUSSIONS MUST BE  
ACKNOWLEDGED. THE EXAM IS DUE BACK ON FRIDAY, DECEMBER 18.

1. SET THEORY

**Question 1.**

- (a) Let  $SI(\mathbb{N})$  be the set of *strictly increasing* functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ; i.e. those functions  $f$  such that  $f(n) < f(m)$  for all  $0 \leq n < m$ . Determine whether  $SI(\mathbb{N})$  is countable or uncountable.
- (b) Let  $D(\mathbb{N})$  be the set of *decreasing* functions  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ; i.e. those functions  $f$  such that  $f(n) \geq f(m)$  for all  $0 \leq n < m$ . Determine whether  $D(\mathbb{N})$  is countable or uncountable.
- (c) Prove that there exist exactly  $2^{\aleph_0}$  open subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . (*Hint: each nonempty open subset is a union of open intervals with rational endpoints.*)

**Question 2.** In this question,  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the set of all functions  $\varphi : \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ .

- (a) Let  $\approx$  be the binary relation defined on  $\mathcal{F}$  by

$$\varphi \approx \psi \iff \{\alpha \in \omega_1 \mid \varphi(\alpha) = \psi(\alpha)\} \text{ contains a club.}$$

Prove that  $\approx$  is an equivalence relation.

- (b) For each  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ , let  $[\varphi]$  denote the corresponding  $\approx$ -class and let

$$\mathcal{F}/E = \{[\varphi] \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Prove that the cardinality of  $\mathcal{F}/E$  is  $2^{\aleph_1}$ . (*Hint: recall that  $\omega_1$  can be expressed as the disjoint union of  $\omega_1$  stationary subsets.*)

- (c) Let  $\prec$  denote the binary relation defined on  $\mathcal{F}/E$  by

$$[\varphi] \prec [\psi] \iff \{\alpha \in \omega_1 \mid \varphi(\alpha) < \psi(\alpha)\} \text{ contains a club.}$$

Prove that  $\prec$  is well-defined and that  $\prec$  is a partial ordering of  $\mathcal{F}/E$ .

(d) Prove that there does not exist an infinite descending sequence

$$\varphi_0 \succ \varphi_1 \succ \varphi_2 \succ \cdots \succ \varphi_n \succ \cdots$$

of elements of  $\mathcal{F}/E$ .

(e) For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $\theta_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$  be the map such that  $\theta_\alpha(\zeta) = \alpha$  for all  $\zeta \in \omega_1$  and let  $Id \in \mathcal{F}$  be the map such that  $Id(\zeta) = \zeta$  for all  $\zeta \in \omega_1$ . Prove that  $[Id]$  is the least upper bound of  $\{[\theta_\alpha] \mid \alpha < \omega_1\}$  in  $\mathcal{F}/E$ .

**Question 3.** Suppose that the map  $f : \omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following condition:

(†) For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each limit ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there exists  $\beta < \alpha$  such that

$$|f(\gamma) - f(\alpha)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \beta < \gamma < \alpha.$$

Prove that there exists  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$  such that  $f(\delta) = f(\alpha_0)$  for all  $\alpha_0 \leq \delta < \omega_1$ .

(Hint: for each  $\varepsilon = 1/n$ , we can use (†) to define a corresponding regressive function  $g_n$  and then apply Fodor's Theorem.)

## 2. MODEL THEORY

**Question 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a countably infinite structure for the countable first order language  $\mathcal{L}$ . Prove that if  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  has finitely many orbits on  $A^n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{A})$  is  $\omega$ -categorical.

**Question 5.** Let  $\mathcal{L} = \{E\}$ , where  $E$  is a binary relation symbol, and let  $T$  be the theory with axioms which state:

- $E$  is the edge relation of a graph; i.e.  $E$  is irreflexive and symmetric.
- Every element is adjacent to exactly two other elements.
- For each  $n \geq 3$ , there do not exist any  $n$ -cycles.

- (a) Prove that  $T$  is a complete theory. (Hint: show that  $T$  is  $\aleph_1$ -categorical.)
- (b) Show that  $T$  has exactly  $\aleph_0$  countable models up to isomorphism.
- (c) Identify the countable atomic and countably saturated models of  $T$ .
- (d) Prove that  $T$  does not admit elimination of quantifiers.

**Question 6.**

- (a) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}_n = \{E_\ell \mid \ell \leq n + 1\}$ , where  $E_\ell$  is a binary relation symbol, and let  $T_n$  be the theory with axioms which state:

- If  $\ell \leq n + 1$ , then  $E_\ell$  is an equivalence relation.
- If  $\ell \leq n + 1$ , then there are exactly  $2^\ell$   $E_\ell$ -classes, each of which is infinite.
- If  $\ell < n + 1$ , then every  $E_\ell$ -class is the union of exactly two  $E_{\ell+1}$ -classes.

Prove that  $T_n$  is a complete theory in  $\mathcal{L}_n$  and that  $T_n$  admits elimination of quantifiers.

- (b) Let  $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_n$  and let  $T = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} T_n$ . Prove that  $T$  is a complete theory in  $\mathcal{L}$  and that  $T$  admits elimination of quantifiers.
- (c) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the model for  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by
- $A = \{x \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid x(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n\}$ .
  - $x E_\ell^{\mathcal{A}} y$  iff  $x(n) = y(n)$  for all  $n < \ell$ .

Prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a countable atomic model of  $T$ .

- (d) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the model for  $\mathcal{L}$  defined by
- $B = A \times \mathbb{N}$ , where  $A$  is as in part (c).
  - $(x, i) E_\ell^{\mathcal{B}} (y, j)$  iff  $x(n) = y(n)$  for all  $n < \ell$ .

Prove that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a countably saturated model of  $T$ .

- (e) Prove that  $T$  has  $2^{\aleph_0}$  countable models up to isomorphism.