

MATHEMATICS 300 — FALL 2018*Introduction to Mathematical Reasoning**H. J. Sussmann***HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT NO. 6, DUE ON
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 1 (FOR SECTION 5)
AND FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 2 (FOR SECTION 3)****SOLUTIONS****Problem 1.** For each of the following sentences in formal language,

1. **Translate** the sentence into *reasonable* English. (Please do not write horrors such as “if n an element of the set of natural numbers then n is a member of the set of even numbers or n is a member of the set of odd numbers”. The way a normal English speaker would say that “a natural number is even or odd”.)
2. **Indicate** whether the sentence is true or false.
3. **Give a brief explanation** (that is, a brief proof) of why the sentence is true or why it is false. (Please do not write long, incomprehensible horrors such as “the sentence $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\exists m \in \mathbb{N})m > n$ is true because the set of natural numbers is infinite so that every natural number has other natural numbers that are associated to it where m is greater than n ”. Write instead: The sentence is true because given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can take $m = n + 1$, and then $m > n$ ”. This is short, precise, clear, and correct.)

1. $(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})(\exists m \in \mathbb{Z})m < n$.

Answer: For every integer n there exists an integer m such that $m < n$. This is **true**, because given any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can choose m to be $n - 1$, and then $m < n$.

2. $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\exists m \in \mathbb{N})m < n$.

Answer: For every natural number n there exists a natural number m such that $m < n$. This is **false**, because if $n = 1$ then there is no $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m < n$.

$$3. (\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(\exists m \in \mathbb{N})m \leq n.$$

Answer: For every natural number n there exists a natural number m such that $m \leq n$. This is **true**, because given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose m to be n , and then $m \leq n$.

$$4. (\exists m \in \mathbb{N})(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})m \geq n.$$

Answer: There exists a natural number m such that m is greater than or equal to every natural number n . This is **false** because, given any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, m cannot be greater than or equal to every natural number n , since m is not greater than or equal to $m + 1$.

$$5. (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(z < y \implies z < x).$$

Answer: For every real number x there exists a real number y such that for every real number z if $z < y$ then $z < x$. This is **true**, because given any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we can choose y to be x , and then given any $z \in \mathbb{R}$ the implication “ $z < y \implies z < x$ ” says “ $z < x \implies z < x$ ”, which is obviously true.

$$6. (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(z < y \implies x < z).$$

Answer: For every real number x there exists a real number y such that for every real number z if $z < y$ then $x < z$. This is **false**. To prove this it suffices to find one value of x for which the sentence

$$(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(z < y \implies x < z) \tag{0.1}$$

is false. I will actually show much more than this: I will show that the sentence (0.1) is false for *every* $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. I will show that (0.1) is false. Suppose it was true. Then we could pick a witness, that is, a real number y for which the sentence

$$(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(z < y \implies x < z) \tag{0.2}$$

is true. This means that “ $z < y \implies x < z$ ” is true for every $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us pick¹ $z = -|x| - |y| - 1$. Then it follows from (0.2) by Rule \forall_{use} that

$$z < y \implies x < z. \tag{0.3}$$

¹Or we could pick $z = \min(x, y) - 1$.

On the other hand, since $z = -|x| - |y| - 1$, it follows that $z < x$ and $z < y$. So “ $z < y$ ” is true, and “ $x < z$ ” is false. Hence the implication “ $z < y \implies x < z$ ” is false. Therefore

$$\sim (z < y \implies x < z). \quad (0.4)$$

Therefore

$$(z < y \implies x < z) \wedge \left(\sim (z < y \implies x < z) \right). \quad (0.5)$$

Clearly, (0.5) is a contradiction. This contradiction arose from assuming that (0.1) was true. Hence (0.1) is false.

$$7. (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(z < y \implies x < z).$$

Answer: For every real number x and every real number z there exists a real number y such that if $z < y$ then $x < z$. This is **true**. Let x, z be arbitrary real numbers. Pick y to be z . Then “ $z < y$ ” is false, so “ $z < y \implies x < z$ ” is true. So y is a witness for the sentence “ $(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(z < y \implies x < z)$ ”. So “ $(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(z < y \implies x < z)$ ” is true.

$$8. (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(z < x \implies (\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(z < y < x)).$$

Answer: For every real number x and every real number z if $z < x$ then there exists a real number y such that $z < y < x$. This is **true**. Let x, z be arbitrary real numbers. Assume that $z < x$. Pick $y = \frac{1}{2}(x + z)$. Then $z < y < x$. So y is a witness for “ $(\exists y \in \mathbb{R})(z < y < x)$ ”.

$$9. (\forall x \in \mathbb{Z})(\forall z \in \mathbb{Z})(z < x \implies (\exists y \in \mathbb{Z})(z < y < x)).$$

Answer: For every integer x and every integer z if $z < x$ then there exists an integer y such that $z < y < x$. This is **false**. To see this, take $x = 3, z = 2$. Then “ $z < x$ ” is true. But “ $(\exists y \in \mathbb{Z})(z < y < x)$ ” is false, because there is no integer y such that $2 < y < 3$.

$$10. (\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}) \left(x > 0 \implies (\exists y \in \mathbb{Z})(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(z > y \implies \frac{1}{z^2} < x) \right).$$

Answer: For every integer x , if x is positive then there exists an integer y such that for every real number z if $z > y$ then $\frac{1}{z^2} < x$. This is **true**. To see this, let x be an arbitrary integer. Assume $x > 0$. Pick $y = 1$. Then if z is an arbitrary integer the implication “ $z > y \implies \frac{1}{z^2} < x$ ” is true, because if $z > y$ then $z^2 > y^2$, so $\frac{1}{z^2} < \frac{1}{y^2} = 1$, while on the other hand $x \geq 1$, because $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x > 0$, so $\frac{1}{z^2} < x$. Thus y is a witness for “ $(\exists y \in \mathbb{Z})(\forall z \in \mathbb{R})(z > y \implies \frac{1}{z^2} < x)$ ”.

11. $(\forall X)\emptyset \in X$.

Answer: The empty set belongs to every set. This is **false**. To see this, take $X = \emptyset$. Then \emptyset does not belong to X , because X is the empty set, so X has no members.

12. $(\forall X)\emptyset \subseteq X$.

Answer: The empty set is a subset of every set. This is **true**. This was proved in class.

13. $(\exists X)(\forall Y)X \in Y$.

Answer: There exist sets X, Y such that X belongs to Y . This is **true**. Just take $X = \emptyset, Y = \{\emptyset\}$.

14. $(\exists X)(\forall Y)X \subseteq Y$.

Answer: There exists a set X that is a subset of every set. This is **true**, because \emptyset is a subset of every set,

15. $(\forall X)(\forall x)(x \in X \implies \{x\} \in X)$.

Answer: If X is a set and x is a member of X , then the singleton of x belongs to X . This is **false**. Take X to be $\{\emptyset\}$ and take $x = \emptyset$. Then x belongs to X , but $\{x\}$ does not belong to X , since the only member of X is \emptyset , and $\{\emptyset\} \neq \emptyset$. (To see that $\{\emptyset\} \neq \emptyset$: $\{\emptyset\}$ has one member, and \emptyset has no members, so they cannot be the same set.)

$$16. (\forall X)(\forall x)(x \in X \implies \{x\} \subseteq X).$$

Answer: If X is a set and x is a member of X then the singleton of x is a subset of X . This is **true**. To see that $\{x\} \subseteq X$ we have to show that every member of $\{x\}$ belongs to X . But the only member of $\{x\}$ is x , and x indeed belongs to X .

$$17. (\forall X)(\forall Y)\left(X \subseteq Y \implies \{X\} \in \mathcal{P}(Y)\right).$$

Answer: If X and Y are sets and X is a subset of Y then the singleton of X belongs to the power set of Y . This is **false**. Take $X = Y = \{\emptyset\}$. Then $X = Y$, so $X \subseteq Y$. But X is not a member of Y . (Reason: since $Y = \{\emptyset\}$, the only member of Y is \emptyset . But X is not \emptyset , because X is a singleton, so X has one member, whereas \emptyset has no members. So X is not a member of Y .) Since $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ is the set of all subsets of Y , $\{X\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(Y)$ if and only if $\{X\}$ is a subset of Y . And $\{X\}$ is a subset of Y if and only if every member of $\{X\}$ belongs to Y . Since X is the unique member of $\{X\}$, we see that $\{X\} \subseteq Y$ if and only if $X \in Y$. So $\{X\} \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$ if and only if $X \in Y$. But we have seen that $X \notin Y$. Hence $\{X\} \notin \mathcal{P}(Y)$.

$$18. (\forall X)(\forall Y)(X \subseteq Y \implies X \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)).$$

Answer: If X and Y are sets and X is a subset of Y then X is a subset of the power set of Y . This is **false**. Take X to be the set $\{\{\emptyset\}\}$, and take $Y = X$. Then X is a singleton, so X has only one member. And that unique member of X is $\{\emptyset\}$. But $\{\emptyset\}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{P}(Y)$. (*Proof:* Assume $\{\emptyset\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(Y)$. Then $\{\emptyset\}$ is a subset of Y , i.e., of X . But this would imply that every member of $\{\emptyset\}$ belongs to X . Since $\{\emptyset\}$ has only one member, and that member is \emptyset , it would follow that \emptyset belongs to X . But X has only one member, and that member is $\{\emptyset\}$. So $\{\emptyset\} = \emptyset$. But this is not possible, since $\{\emptyset\}$ has one member by \emptyset has no members.) Since $\{\emptyset\} \in X$ but $\{\emptyset\} \notin \mathcal{P}(Y)$, it follows that X is not a subset of $\mathcal{P}(Y)$.

19. $(\forall X)(\forall Y)(X \subseteq Y \implies \mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y))$.

Answer: If X and Y are sets X is a subset of Y then the power set of X is a subset of the power set of Y . This is **true**. To prove this we have to show that every member of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}(Y)$. So let Z be an arbitrary member of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Then $Z \subseteq X$. Since $X \subseteq Y$, it follows that $Z \subseteq Y$, so $Z \in \mathcal{P}(Y)$.

Problem 2.

1. **Find** at least ten prime numbers p such that $p + 4$ is also prime.

Answer: 3, 7, 13, 19, 37, 43, 67, 79, 97, 103.

2. **Prove** that there exists a unique prime number p such that $p + 4$ and $p + 8$ are also prime, and **find** that number.

Answer: Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that p , $p + 4$ and $p + 8$ are prime, Using the division theorem, write $p = 3q + r$, with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq r < 3$. Then $r = 0 \vee r = 1 \vee r = 2$. We now prove that $r \neq 1$ and $r \neq 2$:

- Assume $r = 1$. Then $p = 3q + 1$, so $p + 8 = 3q + 9$, and then $p + 8 = 3(q + 3)$. Hence $3|p + 8$. Since $p + 8$ is prime, $3 = p + 8$ or $3 = 1$. Since $3 \neq 1$, it follows that $3 = p + 8$, so $p = -5$, contradicting the fact that $p > 1$. So $r \neq 1$.
- Next, assume $r = 2$. Then $p = 3q + 2$, so $p + 4 = 3q + 6$, and then $p + 4 = 3(q + 2)$. Hence $3|p + 4$. Since $p + 4$ is prime, $3 = p + 4$ or $3 = 1$. Since $3 \neq 1$, it follows that $3 = p + 4$, so $p = -1$, contradicting the fact that $p > 1$. So $r \neq 2$.

It follows that $r = 0$. Then $p = 3q$, and $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. So $3|p$. Since p is prime and $3|p$, either $3 = 1$ or $3 = p$. Since $3 \neq 1$, we find that $p = 3$.

So the assumption that p , $p + 4$ and $p + 8$ are prime implies that $p = 3$.

On the other hand, if $p = 3$ then $p + 4 = 7$ and $p + 8 = 11$, so p , $p + 4$ and $p + 8$ are prime. So 3 is the unique p such that p , $p + 4$ and $p + 8$ are prime.

3. **Prove** that there does not exist a prime number p such that $p + 4$, $p + 8$ and $p + 12$ are also prime.

Answer: Assume there does exist a prime number p such that $p + 4$, $p + 8$ and $p + 12$ are also prime. Pick one. Since p , $p + 4$, and $p + 8$ prime, it follows from the previous part of the problem that $p = 3$. Since $p + 12$ is prime, and $p + 12 = 15$, it follows that 15 is prime. But 15 is not prime, So we have proved a contradiction. Hence an integer p such that p , $p + 4$, $p + 8$ and $p + 12$ are prime does not exist.

Problem 3. Prove the following statement:

(*) If n is an integer then $n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4)$ is divisible by 120.

Answer:

Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary

Let $m = n(n + 1)(n + 2)(n + 3)(n + 4)$.

We are going to prove that

1. $8|m$,
2. $3|m$,
3. $5|m$,
4. $24|m$,
5. $120|m$.

Proof that $8|m$:

Using the division theorem, write $n = 4q + r$, with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r = 0 \vee r = 1 \vee r = 2 \vee r = 3$.

Case 1: $r = 0$.

Then $n = 4q$.

So $n + 2 = 4q + 2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\
 &= 4q(n+1)(4q+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\
 &= 8q(n+1)(2q+12)(n+3)(n+4),
 \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{8|m}$.

Case 2: $r = 1$.

Then $n = 4q + 1$.

So $n + 3 = 4q + 4 = 4(q + 1)$.

And $n + 1 = 4q + 2 = 2(2q + 1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\
 &= 2(2q+1)(n+1)4(q+1)(n+3)(n+4) \\
 &= 8(2q+1)(n+1)(q+1)(n+3)(n+4),
 \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{8|m}$.

Case 3: $r = 2$.

Then $n = 4q + 2 = 2(2q + 1)$.

And $n + 4 = 4q + 4 = 4(q + 1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\
 &= 2(2q+1)(n+1)4(q+1)(n+3)(n+4) \\
 &= 8(2q+1)(n+1)(q+1)(n+3)(n+4),
 \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{8|m}$.

Case 4: $r = 3$.

Then $n = 4q + 3$.

So $n + 1 = 4q + 4 = 4(q + 1)$.

And $n + 3 = 4q + 6 = 2(2q + 3)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 4n(q+1)(n+2)2(2q+3)(n+4) \\ &= 8n(q+1)(n+2)(2q+3)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{8|m}$.

We have proved that $8|m$ in all four cases. So $\boxed{\boxed{8|m}}$.

Proof that $3|m$:

Using the division theorem, write $n = 3q + r$, with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r = 0 \vee r = 1 \vee r = 2$.

Case 1: $r = 0$.

Then $n = 3q$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 3q(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{3|m}$.

Case 2: $r = 1$.

Then $n = 3q + 1$.

So $n + 2 = 3q + 3 = 3(q + 1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= n(n+1)(3q+3)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 3(q+1)n(n+1)(n+3)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{3|m}$.

Case 3: $r = 2$.

Then $n + 1 = 3q + 3$.

So $n + 1 = 3(q + 1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 3n(q+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{3|m}$.

We have proved that $3|m$ in all three cases. So $\boxed{\boxed{3|m}}$.

Proof that $5|m$:

Using the division theorem, write $n = 5q + r$, with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r = 0 \vee r = 1 \vee r = 2 \vee r = 3 \vee r = 4$.

Case 1: $r = 0$.

Then $n = 5q$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 5q(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{5|m}$.

Case 2: $r = 1$.

Then $n + 4 = 5q + 5 = 5(q + 1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 5n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(q+1), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{5|m}$.

Case 3: $r = 2$.

Then $n + 3 = 5q + 5 = 5(q + 1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 5n(n+1)(n+2)(q+1)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{5|m}$.

Case 4: $r = 3$.

Then $n+2 = 5q+5 = 5(q+1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 5n(n+1)(q+1)(n+3)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{5|m}$.

Case 5: $r = 4$.

Then $n+1 = 5q+5 = 5(q+1)$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4) \\ &= 5n(q+1)(n+2)(n+3)(n+4), \end{aligned}$$

so $\boxed{5|m}$.

We have proved that $5|m$ in all five cases. So $\boxed{\boxed{5|m}}$.

So we have proved that $8|m$, $3|m$, and $5|m$.

We now prove that $24|m$.

Since $3|m$, $8|m$, and $5|m$, we may write

$$m = 3j, \quad m = 8k, \quad \text{and} \quad m = 5\ell, \quad \text{with } j, k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

On the other hand,

$$1 = 16 - 15.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}m &= 16m - 15m \\&= 16 \times 3j - 15 \times 8k \\&= (8 \times 3)2j - (3 \times 8) \times 5k \\&= 24(2j - 5k).\end{aligned}$$

So $\boxed{24|m}$.

Finally, we prove that $120|m$.

We have

$$1 = 25 - 24.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}m &= 25m - 24m \\&= 25 \times 24(2j - 5k) - 24 \times 5\ell \\&= 5 \times 24 \times 5(2j - 5k) - (24 \times 5)\ell \\&= 120 \times 5(2j - 5k) - 120\ell \\&= 120\left((2j - 5k) - \ell\right).\end{aligned}$$

So $\boxed{120|m}$.

Q.E.D.