MATHEMATICS 361 — FALL 2019 SET THEORY

H. J. Sussmann

HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENT NO. 3, DUE ON THURS-DAY, SEPTEMBER 26

These problems are about "pure set theory"; that is, we assume there are no atoms, so everything is a set. Hence " $\forall x$ " means "for every set x", and " $\exists x$ " means "there exists a set x such that".

- 1. Book, Pages 38, 39, Exercises 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.
- 2. Consider the following theorem and its proof:

Theorem. If A, B are sets and $A \times B = B \times A$ then A = B.

Proof. If $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ then $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B$; since $A \times B = B \times A$, it follows that $\langle x, y \rangle \in B \times A$; so $x \in B$ and $y \in A$. This shows that if $x \in A$ then $x \in B$, so $A \subseteq B$, and that if $y \in B$ then $y \in A$, so $B \subseteq A$. Hence A = B. Q.E.D.

Actually, the theorem, as stated, is false, so the proof must be wrong.

- i. *Prove* that the theorem is false, by giving a counterexample.
- ii. *Explain* why the proof is wrong, that is, find the step or steps that are invalid.
- iii. *Fix* the theorem, by adding an extra condition to the hypotheses of the theorem that makes it true.
- iv. Give a correct proof of the true theorem.

NOTE: In case you don't understand what I mean by "fixing" a theorem statement, here is an example, that should illustrate what I mean.

Suppose I give you the theorem that if a, b, c are real numbers and ab = ac then b = c. This theorem is also false. Suppose I ask you the same questions that I asked here.

To prove that the theorem is false, you must give a counterexample. Here is a counterexample: take a = 0, b = 1, c = 2. Then ab = ac, but b is not equal to c.

To find the mistake in the proof, you have to look at the proof. If you do that, I am sure you will find a step that involves dividing both sides by a, and this step is invalid because we don't know that $a \neq 0$.

To fix the theorem, add the condition that $a \neq 0$. Then you get a true theorem: if a, b, c are real numbers such that $a \neq 0$, and ab = ac, then b = c.

Finally, the correct proof of the true theorem is easy: since $a \neq 0$, a^{-1} exists. Multiply both sides of "ab = ac" by a^{-1} , and you get b = c. Q.E.D.

In the set theory problem here, I am asking you to do something similar.