

# MATHEMATICS 502 — SPRING 2020

H. J. SUSSMANN

## FINAL TAKE-HOME EXAM

*This exam consists of **four** problems.*

*You should submit your solutions by sending them by e-mail to*

sussmann@math.rutgers.edu

*not later than **Wednesday, May 13, 2020.***

**Problem 1.** In this problem,

- The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of a topological space  $X$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the open subsets of  $X$ .
- We use  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  to denote the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $X$ .
- A Borel probability measure on a topological space  $X$  is a nonnegative finite measure  $\mu : \mathcal{B}(X) \mapsto \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0\}$  such that  $\mu(X) = 1$ .

**Prove** that if  $X$  is a complete separable metric space and  $\mu$  is a Borel probability measure on  $X$  then  $\mu$  is “almost concentrated on compact sets”, in the following precise sense:

$$\sup\{\mu(K) : K \subseteq X, K \text{ compact}\} = 1.$$

**Problem 2.** Using a trick similar to that used by Folland in Problem 13 on Page 254, **prove** that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^4} = \frac{\pi^4}{90}. \quad (1)$$

**Problem 3.** Folland, problem 14 of section 8.3, on pages 254-5, on Wirtinger’s inequality.

**Problem 4.** Folland, problem 23 of section 8.3, on pages 256-7, on the Hermite functions.

COMMENTS. The Fourier and Fourier inversion formulas<sup>1</sup>

$$\hat{f}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi, \quad (2)$$

$$f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi, \quad (3)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Formulas (2) and (3) are interpreted in the usual way: they are valid as written for  $f \in L^1$  such that  $\hat{f} \in L^1$  (in which case the functions  $f$  and  $\hat{f}$  are continuous, so the evaluation of these functions at one point makes sense, and the integrands are integrable functions), and then they can be extended to  $f \in L^2$  (in which case  $\hat{f} \in L^2$  as well) by taking limits in  $L^2$  of functions  $f_n$  such that  $f_n$  and  $\hat{f}_n$  belong to  $L^1$ .

imply

$$f(-x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi, \quad (4)$$

i.e.,

$$f(-x) = \hat{\hat{f}}(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} d\xi. \quad (5)$$

Hence, if we use  $\mathcal{F}$  for the Fourier transformation map in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (so that  $\mathcal{F}f = \hat{f}$ ), we have

$$f(-x) = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}f(x), \quad (6)$$

and this implies that

$$\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{I}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathcal{F}^4 = \mathbb{I}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity map of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Furthermore, the Plancherel Theorem says that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a unitary map. Hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is a unitary map that satisfies  $\mathcal{F}^4 = 1$ . This implies<sup>2</sup> that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{F}$  must be  $1, i, -1$ , and  $-i$ .

***The Hermite functions give us, rigorously, an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of eigenfunctions for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Precisely, in the problem we construct a sequence  $\{\emptyset_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  of functions  $\emptyset_k$  belonging to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  such that the  $\emptyset_k$  form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{F}\emptyset_k = (-i)^k \emptyset_k$  so, as you can see, the  $\emptyset_k$  are eigenfunctions for  $\mathcal{F}$  for the eigenvalues  $1, i, -1$  and  $-i$ .***

It would be nice if we could say that “*the  $\emptyset_k$  are the famous Hermite functions*”. Unfortunately, we cannot say that, exactly. The Hermite functions, denoted by  $h_k$  in Folland’s book, are closely related, but not exactly the same as, the eigenfunctions  $\emptyset_k$ . I think it is important that you understand how they are related, and why they are close but not exactly the same.

The Hermite functions are eigenfunctions of the ***Hermite differential operator***<sup>3</sup>  $S$ , given by

$$S = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2, \quad (8)$$

that is<sup>4</sup>:

$$Sf(x) = -f''(x) + x^2 f(x). \quad (9)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>The implication is completely rigorous using the spectral theorem and the spectral mapping theorem, but for our purpose here this fact does not matter. So maybe I should have written “suggests” rather than “implies”.

<sup>3</sup>In the literature, the formula most commonly used for the Hermite differential operator is  $\frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 \right)$ . This, of course, does not change the eigenfunctions, but it changes the eigenvalues, so that the statement you will usually encounter is that the eigenvalues of the Hermite operator are  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots$ .

<sup>4</sup> $S$  is a ***partially defined operator*** on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ :  $Sf$  is not well defined for every function  $f$  in  $L^2$ ; it’s only defined for functions  $f$  such that the second derivative  $f''$  exists in some appropriate sense and the function  $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto -f''(x) + x^2 f(x)$  is in  $L^2$ . This can be made completely rigorous but we do not need to do it here.

As you will show in this problem, the Hermite functions  $h_k$ , for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , satisfy  $Sh_k = (2k+1)h_k$ , so they are indeed eigenfunctions for  $S$ , corresponding to the eigenvalues  $1, 3, 5, 7, \dots$ .

How is this related to the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$ ? Roughly speaking, ***the Hermite operator  $S$  commutes with the Fourier transform operator  $\mathcal{F}$*** . And, as you know from elementary linear algebra, when two operators commute then they have a common set of eigenfunctions or eigenvectors<sup>5</sup>.

So, roughly speaking, what we do in this problem is find the eigenfunctions of  $S$  in order to find eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

There is, however, one complication. The Hermite operator  $S$  does not actually commute with  $\mathcal{F}$ . It commutes with the “Fourier transform map”  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  defined by letting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}f = \tilde{f}, \quad \text{where } \tilde{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i\xi \cdot x} dx,$$

which is not exactly the same map as  $\mathcal{F}$ . (This is, in my opinion, how the Fourier transform should have been defined.)

So, if Folland had used  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$  rather than  $\mathcal{F}$ , we would have been able to say things much more simply: the Hermite operator  $S$  commutes with  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , and the eigenfunctions  $h_k$  of  $S$  are then also the eigenfunctions of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . But, since we are using  $\mathcal{F}$ , we cannot quite say that. We have to say instead what Folland says: there is a unitary rescaling map  $A$  that conjugates  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , in the sense that  $A^{-1}\mathcal{F}A = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ . Since the Hermite functions  $h_k$  are eigenfunctions of  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ , their conjugates  $\emptyset_k = Ah_k$  are eigenfunctions of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

---

<sup>5</sup>Here is one rigorous formulation: On a finite-dimensional space, if  $A$  and  $B$  are commuting linear maps, and all the eigenvalues of  $A$  are simple, then the eigenfunctions of  $A$  are also eigenfunctions of  $B$ . *Proof.* Let  $Af = \lambda f$ ,  $f \neq 0$ . Let  $E = \{h : Ah = \lambda h\}$ . Then, if  $h \in E$ , we have  $A(Bh) = B(Ah) = B(\lambda h) = \lambda Bh$ , so  $Bh \in E$ . So  $E$  is a  $B$ -invariant subspace. Since  $E$  is one-dimensional, because  $\lambda$  is simple, we conclude that  $Bf$  is a scalar multiple of  $f$ , so  $f$  is an eigenfunction of  $B$ .