

# MATHEMATICS 300 — FALL 2009

*Introduction to Mathematical Reasoning*

*H. J. Sussmann*

## INSTRUCTOR'S NOTES

*(September 10, 2009)*

### 1 How sentences can be combined to form new sentences

Sentences can be combined to form new sentences by means of the **logical connectives**. There are exactly seven of them, listed in the box.

THE SEVEN LOGICAL CONNECTIVES		
symbol	name	meaning
$\sim$	negation	not, it's not the case that
$\vee$	disjunction	or
$\wedge$	conjunction	and
$\Rightarrow$	implication	implies, if . . . then
$\Leftrightarrow$	biconditional	if and only if
$\forall$	universal quantifier	for all
$\exists$	existential quantifier	there exists . . . such that

The *negation* connective is applied to *one* sentence to form another sentence, called the *negation* of the first sentence. For example, the sentence “ $\sim x = 3$ ” is the negation of “ $x = 3$ ”, and is read “it’s not the case that  $x$  equals three,” or “ $x$  is not equal to three”.

The *disjunction* connective is used to combine *two* sentences to form another sentence, called the *disjunction* of the first two sentences. For example, the sentence “ $x = 3 \vee x > 2$ ” is the disjunction of the sentences “ $x = 3$ ” and “ $x > 2$ ”, and is read “ $x$  equals three or  $x$  is greater than two”.

The *conjunction* connective is used to combine *two* sentences to form another sentence, called the *conjunction* of the first two sentences. For example, the sentence “ $x = 3 \wedge x > 2$ ” is the conjunction of the sentences “ $x = 3$ ” and “ $x > 2$ ”, and is read “ $x$  equals three and  $x$  is greater than two”.

The *implication* connective is used to combine *two* sentences to form another sentence, called an *implication*. More precisely, if  $A$  and  $B$  are sentences, then  $A \Rightarrow B$  is a sentence, said to be the *implication with premiss  $A$  and conclusion  $B$* . For example, the sentence “ $x = 3 \Rightarrow x > 2$ ” is the implication with premiss “ $x = 3$ ” and conclusion “ $x > 2$ ”. (NOTE: another word for “premiss” is “antecedent”; also, another word for “conclusion” is “consequent”.) We read “ $x = 3 \Rightarrow x > 2$ ” as “ $x = 3$  implies  $x > 2$ ”, or “if  $x = 3$  then  $x > 2$ ”. **You should not read “ $x = 3 \Rightarrow x > 2$ ” as “ $x = 3$  therefore  $x > 2$ ”. The sentence “ $x = 3 \Rightarrow x > 2$ ” does not make the assertion that  $x = 3$ . (For example, “ $x = 3 \Rightarrow x > 2$ ” is true if  $x = 6$ .)**

The *biconditional* connective is used to combine *two* sentences to form another sentence, called an *equivalence*, or *biconditional*. For example, the sentence “ $x = 3 \Leftrightarrow x > 2$ ” is an equivalence. We read “ $x = 3 \Leftrightarrow x > 2$ ” as “ $x = 3$  if and only if  $x > 2$ ”.

The *universal quantifier* connective is applied to *one* sentence to form another sentence, called a *universal sentence*. For example, from the sentence “ $x = 3 \vee x > 2$ ” we can get the universal sentence

$$(\forall x)(x = 3 \vee x > 2).$$

This is read as “for all  $x$ ,  $x$  equals three or  $x$  is greater than two”, or “every  $x$  is either equal to three or greater than two”.

Usually, in a universally quantified sentence such as the one above, the whole expression  $(\forall x)$  is referred to as a *quantifier*.

Universal quantifiers can be restricted, by specifying a range for the variable  $x$ . For example, the sentence

$$(\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x = 3 \vee x > 2)$$

says that “for all real numbers  $x$ ,  $x$  equals three or  $x$  is greater than two”, or “every real number is either equal to three or greater than two”.

The sentence to which the universal quantifier applies is the *scope* of the quantifier. *The scope of a quantifier must be enclosed in parenthesis*. If there is no left parenthesis immediately to the right of a quantifier  $(\forall x)$  or  $(\forall x \in U)$ , then the scope of the quantifier is taken to be the smallest sentence to the right of the quantifier.

For example, let us compare the sentences

$$(1) \quad (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})(x = 3 \vee x > 2).$$

and

$$(2) \quad (\forall x \in \mathbb{R})x = 3 \vee x > 2.$$

In (1), the scope of the quantifier is “ $x = 3 \vee x > 2$ ”, so the sentence is read as “for all real numbers  $x$ ,  $x$  equals three or  $x$  is greater than two”. In (2), the scope of the quantifier is “ $x = 3$ ”, so the sentence is read as “either all real numbers are equal to three, or  $x$  is greater than two”. Notice that **misplaced parentheses or missing parentheses can make a huge difference.**

The *existential quantifier* connective is applied to *one* sentence to form another sentence, called an *existential sentence*. For example, from the sentence “ $x = 3 \vee x > 2$ ” we can get the existential sentence

$$(\exists x)(x = 3 \vee x > 2).$$

This is read as “there exists  $x$  such that either  $x$  equals three or  $x$  is greater than two”, or “some  $x$  is either equal to three or greater than two”.

Usually, in an existentially quantified sentence such as the one above, the whole expression  $(\exists x)$  is referred to as a *quantifier*.

Existential quantifiers can be restricted, by specifying a range for the variable  $x$ . For example, the sentence

$$(\exists x \in \mathbb{R})(x = 3 \vee x > 2)$$

says that “there exists a real number  $x$  such that  $x$  equals three or  $x$  is greater than two”, or “some real number is either equal to three or greater than two”.

The sentence to which the existential quantifier applies is the *scope* of the quantifier. *The scope of a quantifier must be enclosed in parenthesis.* If there is no left parenthesis immediately to the right of a quantifier  $(\exists x)$  or  $(\exists x \in U)$ , then the scope of the quantifier is taken to be the smallest sentence to the right of the quantifier.

For example, let us compare the sentences

$$(3) \quad (\exists x \in \mathbb{R})(x = 3 \vee x > 2).$$

and

$$(4) \quad (\exists x \in \mathbb{R})x = 3 \vee x > 2.$$

In (3), the scope of the quantifier is “ $x = 3 \vee x > 2$ ”, so the sentence is read as “there exists a real number  $x$  such that either  $x$  equals three or  $x$

is greater than two”. In (4), the scope of the quantifier is “ $x = 3$ ”, so the sentence is read as “either there exists a real number which is equal to three, or  $x$  is greater than two”. Once again, you should notice that **misplaced parentheses or missing parentheses can make a huge difference.**

## **2 Homework assignment No. 2, due on Thursday Sept. 17**

Book, pages 26-27-28, problems 1 (non-starred items), 3, 5 (non-starred items), 6 (non-starred items), 8 (non-starred items), 10 (non-starred items), 11.