

An Inelegant (but Short(!)) Proof of a Major Index Theorem of Garsia and Gessel (Verbose Version)

Doron ZEILBERGER¹

Recall Adriano Garsia's favorite notation: $\chi(A) = 1$ if A is true, and $\chi(A) = 0$ if A is false. For example $\chi(\text{MathIsFun}) = 1$, $\chi(\text{HeleneBarceloIsAGoodEditor}) = 0$. Also recall that the *major index* of any list of integers $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ (in particular a permutation) is defined by $\text{maj}(\pi) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i\chi(\pi_i > \pi_{i+1})$. Let $\theta = \theta_1 \dots \theta_a$ and $\pi = \pi_1 \dots \pi_b$ be two disjoint lists of distinct integers. Let $S(\theta, \pi)$ be the set of $(a+b)!/(a!b!)$ mergings (suffles) of θ and π . Let $(q)_n := (1-q)(1-q^2) \dots (1-q^n)$. Moti Novick[N] has recently found an elegant bijective proof of the following

Theorem (Garsia and Gessel[GG]):

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S(\theta, \pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} = \frac{(q)_{a+b}}{(q)_a (q)_b} q^{\text{maj}(\theta) + \text{maj}(\pi)} . \quad (\text{Moti})$$

In this short note I will present an *inelegant*, induction proof, by proving, more generally:

Lemma: Let $S_1(\theta, \pi)$ be the subset of $S(\theta, \pi)$ whose last entry is θ_a , and let $S_2(\theta, \pi)$ be the subset of $S(\theta, \pi)$ whose last entry is π_b , then

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_1(\theta, \pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} = \frac{(q)_{a+b-1}}{(q)_{a-1} (q)_b} q^{\text{maj}(\theta) + \text{maj}(\pi) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} , \quad (\text{Adriano})$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_2(\theta, \pi)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} = \frac{(q)_{a+b-1}}{(q)_a (q)_{b-1}} q^{\text{maj}(\theta) + \text{maj}(\pi) + a\chi(\pi_b < \theta_a)} . \quad (\text{Ira})$$

Note that adding-up *(Adriano)* and *(Ira)* gives *(Moti)*. Let's call the left-sides of *(Adriano)* and *(Ira)* $F_1(a, b)$ and $F_2(a, b)$ respectively, and let's call their right-sides $G_1(a, b)$ and $G_2(a, b)$ respectively. It is immediate that $(F_1, F_2) = (G_1, G_2)$ when $a = 0$ or $b = 0$, and the fact that $(F_1, F_2) = (G_1, G_2)$ for all $a, b \geq 0$ follows from the fact that both $(X_1, X_2) = (F_1, F_2)$ and $(X_1, X_2) = (G_1, G_2)$ satisfy the recurrence

$$X_1(a, b) = q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a)} X_1(a-1, b) + q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} X_2(a-1, b)$$

$$X_2(a, b) = q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\theta_a > \pi_b)} X_1(a, b-1) + q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\pi_{b-1} > \pi_b)} X_2(a, b-1) .$$

The proof for (F_1, F_2) is left to the reader. Let's prove it for (G_1, G_2) . We will only prove the first identity. The second one is also left to the reader.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University (New Brunswick), Hill Center-Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA. [zeilberg at math dot rutgers dot edu](mailto:zeilberg@math.rutgers.edu) , <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/> . First version: March 25, 2009. This verbose version: March 31, 2009. Supported in part by the NSF.

We have to prove that

$$G_1(a, b) - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a)} G_1(a-1, b) - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} G_2(a-1, b) = 0 \quad . \quad (HaImEfes)$$

By definition:

$$G_1(a, b) = \frac{(q)_{a+b-1}}{(q)_{a-1}(q)_b} q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_a) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} \quad ,$$

$$G_2(a, b) = \frac{(q)_{a+b-1}}{(q)_a(q)_{b-1}} q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_a) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + a\chi(\pi_b < \theta_a)} \quad .$$

Replacing a by $a-1$ we have

$$G_1(a-1, b) = \frac{(q)_{a+b-2}}{(q)_{a-2}(q)_b} q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_{a-1})} \quad ,$$

$$G_2(a-1, b) = \frac{(q)_{a+b-2}}{(q)_{a-1}(q)_{b-1}} q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + (a-1)\chi(\pi_b < \theta_{a-1})} \quad .$$

Substituting in the left side of $(HaImEfes)$, we have to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(q)_{a+b-1}}{(q)_{a-1}(q)_b} q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_a) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} \\ & - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a)} \cdot \frac{(q)_{a+b-2}}{(q)_{a-2}(q)_b} q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_{a-1})} \\ & - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} \cdot \frac{(q)_{a+b-2}}{(q)_{a-1}(q)_{b-1}} q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + (a-1)\chi(\pi_b < \theta_{a-1})} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\frac{(q)_{a+b-2}}{(q)_{a-1}(q)_b}$, we have to prove

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - q^{a+b-1}) q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_a) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} \\ & - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a)} \cdot (1 - q^{a-1}) q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_{a-1})} \\ & - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} \cdot (1 - q^b) q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + (a-1)\chi(\pi_b < \theta_{a-1})} = 0 \quad . \end{aligned}$$

But

$$maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_a) = maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + (a-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a) \quad ,$$

so we have to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - q^{a+b-1}) q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + (a-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} - \\ & q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a)} \cdot (1 - q^{a-1}) q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_{a-1})} \\ & - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} \cdot (1 - q^b) q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b) + a\chi(\pi_b < \theta_{a-1})} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by $q^{maj(\theta_1 \dots \theta_{a-1}) + maj(\pi_1 \dots \pi_b)}$, we have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1}) q^{(a-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} - q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a)} \cdot (1 - q^{a-1}) q^{b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_{a-1})}$$

$$-q^{(a+b-1)\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} \cdot (1 - q^b)q^{(a-1)\chi(\pi_b < \theta_{a-1})} = 0$$

Combining powers of q , we have to prove:

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1})q^{(a-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a) + b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} - (1 - q^{a-1})q^{b\chi(\pi_b > \theta_{a-1}) + (a+b-1)\chi(\theta_{a-1} > \theta_a)} \\ - (1 - q^b)q^{(a-1)\chi(\pi_b < \theta_{a-1}) + (a+b-1)\chi(\pi_b > \theta_a)} = 0$$

We have **six** cases to consider according to the relative rankings of $\theta_{a-1}, \theta_a, \pi_b$.

Case 123: $\theta_{a-1} < \theta_a < \pi_b$. We have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1})q^b - (1 - q^{a-1})q^b - (1 - q^b)q^{(a+b-1)} = 0 \quad .$$

Dividing by q^b , we have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1}) - (1 - q^{a-1}) - (1 - q^b)q^{(a-1)} = 0 \quad .$$

Expanding, we have, to prove

$$1 - q^{a+b-1} - 1 + q^{a-1} - q^{a-1} + q^{a+b-1} = 0 \quad ,$$

and this is indeed true.

Case 132: $\theta_{a-1} < \pi_b < \theta_a$. We have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1})q^0 - (1 - q^{a-1})q^b - (1 - q^b)q^0 = 0$$

Expanding, we get:

$$1 - q^{a+b-1} - q^b + q^{a+b-1} - 1 + q^b = 0 \quad ,$$

and this is indeed true.

Case 213: $\theta_a < \theta_{a-1} < \pi_b$:

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1})q^{(a-1)+b} - (1 - q^{a-1})q^{b+(a+b-1)} - (1 - q^b)q^{a+b-1} = 0 \quad ,$$

Dividing by q^{a+b-1} , we have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1}) - (1 - q^{a-1})q^b - (1 - q^b) = 0 \quad ,$$

Expanding, we have to prove

$$1 - q^{a+b-1} - q^b + q^{a+b-1} - 1 + q^b = 0 \quad ,$$

and this is indeed true.

Case 231: $\theta_a < \pi_b < \theta_{a-1}$. We have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1})q^{(a-1)+b} - (1 - q^{a-1})q^{a+b-1} - (1 - q^b)q^{a-1+(a+b-1)} = 0 \quad .$$

Dividing by q^{a+b-1} , we have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1}) - (1 - q^{a-1}) - (1 - q^b)q^{a-1} = 0 \quad .$$

Expanding, we have to prove

$$1 - q^{a+b-1} - 1 + q^{a-1} - q^{a-1} + q^{a+b-1} = 0 \quad ,$$

and this is indeed true.

Case 312: $\pi_b < \theta_{a-1} < \theta_a$:

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1})q^0 - (1 - q^{a-1})q^0 - (1 - q^b)q^{a-1} = 0 \quad ,$$

Expanding, we have to prove

$$1 - q^{a+b-1} - 1 + q^{a-1} - q^{a-1} + q^{a+b-1} = 0 \quad ,$$

and this is indeed true.

Case 321: $\pi_b < \theta_a < \theta_{a-1}$:

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1})q^{a-1} - (1 - q^{a-1})q^{a+b-1} - (1 - q^b)q^{a-1} = 0 \quad .$$

Dividing by q^{a-1} , we have to prove

$$(1 - q^{a+b-1}) - (1 - q^{a-1})q^b - (1 - q^b) = 0 \quad .$$

Expanding, we have to prove

$$1 - q^{a+b-1} - q^b + q^{a+b-1} - 1 + q^b = 0 \quad ,$$

and this is indeed true. \square

Remarks: 1. Moti Novick's elegant bijection also preserves equidistribution over Inverse Descent Classes. **2.** It would be interesting to bijectify the above inductive proof, along the lines of [MZ], and see if the resulting bijection is identical, or similar, to Moti Novick's elegant bijection.

References:

[GG] Adriano M. Garsia and Ira Gessel, *Permutation statistics and partitions*, Advances in Mathematics **31**(1979), 288-305.

[MZ] Philip Matchett Wood and Doron Zeilberger, *A Translation Method for Finding Combinatorial Bijections*, to appear in Annals of Combinatorics.

Available from <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/trans-method.html> .

[N] Moti Novick, *A Bijective proof of a major index theorem of Garsia and Gessel*, preprint, Hebrew University of Jerusalem.