

A Symbolic Computation Approach to a Problem Involving Multivariate Poisson Distributions (Draft, do not distribute!)*

Eduardo D. Sontag and Doron Zeilberger
Department of Mathematics
Rutgers University
Hill Center-Busch Campus
110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019, USA.
[sontag,zeilberg] at math dot rutgers dot edu

May 5, 2009

1 Introduction

Eduardo, please write it.

******* EDS: I will detail the Chemistry to the second part, but mention it in the still-to-be-written intro. *******

2 The Problem

Suppose that we have n independent Poisson random variables, X_j ($j = 1 \dots n$), with parameters λ_j respectively. In other words

$$\Pr(X_1 = k_1, X_2 = k_2, \dots, X_n = k_n) = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)} \frac{\lambda_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \frac{\lambda_2^{k_2}}{k_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda_n^{k_n}}{k_n!} . \quad (1)$$

Suppose that we can't observe the X_j 's directly, but only a certain number, m , of linear combinations of them:

$$Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_j \quad , \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad ,$$

where (a_{ij}) is a certain $m \times n$ matrix with non-negative coefficients.

We are interested in the following questions:

*Accompanied by Maple package MV_{Poisson} downloadable from
<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/mvp.html>

1. Can one compute (fast!), for any given vector (b_1, \dots, b_m) (possibly with large coordinates), the probability

$$F(b_1, \dots, b_m) := \Pr(Y_1 = b_1, \dots, Y_m = b_m) \quad .$$

2. Can one compute (fast!), for any given vector (b_1, \dots, b_m) , (possibly with large coordinates) the conditional expectation

$$G_j(b_1, \dots, b_m) := E[X_j \mid Y_1 = b_1, \dots, Y_m = b_m] \quad , \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

3. More generally, can one compute (fast!), the higher moments

$$G_j^{(r)}(b_1, \dots, b_m) := E[X_j^r \mid Y_1 = b_1, \dots, Y_m = b_m] \quad , \quad (r \geq 2) \quad ,$$

that would immediately allow us to compute the moments about the mean. Can we compute (fast!) mixed moments, in particular the covariances?

3 The generating function

Fix a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ ($1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n$), once and for all. Let

$$F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m) = \sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0 \\ a_{11}k_1 + \dots + a_{1n}k_n = b_1, \dots, a_{m1}k_1 + \dots + a_{mn}k_n = b_m}} \frac{\lambda_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \frac{\lambda_2^{k_2}}{k_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda_n^{k_n}}{k_n!}$$

(value is zero if the sum is empty).

******* DZ NOTE: slight edit: *******

Thus, our focus will be on computing F_0 , from which we can easily obtain F , since

$$F(b_1, \dots, b_m) = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)} F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m).$$

Let f_0 be the (multivariable) generating function of F_0 , in other words

$$f_0(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \sum_{b_1 \geq 0, \dots, b_m \geq 0} F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m) z_1^{b_1} \dots z_m^{b_m} \quad .$$

(Observe that

$$e^{-(\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n)} f(z_1, \dots, z_m)$$

is the generating function of F .)

******* DZ NOTE: I added the above parenthetical remark. While I agree that it is redundant, I think that it is a useful remark. The reason is that there are zillions of papers in this field using genfuns for solving this problem (most recently one in PNAS a month ago, if I recall right). I want people glancing at (but not reading) this paper to realize that the genfun is being computed here. *******

Our quantity of interest, $F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)$, is the coefficient of $z_1^{b_1} \dots z_m^{b_m}$ in the multivariable Taylor expansion about the origin of $f_0(z_1, \dots, z_m)$.

We have:

$$f_0(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \sum_{b_1 \geq 0, \dots, b_m \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0 \\ a_{11}k_1 + \dots + a_{1n}k_n = b_1, \dots, a_{m1}k_1 + \dots + a_{mn}k_n = b_m}} \frac{\lambda_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \frac{\lambda_2^{k_2}}{k_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda_n^{k_n}}{k_n!} \right) z_1^{b_1} \dots z_m^{b_m} .$$

By changing the order of summation, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_1 \geq 0, \dots, k_n \geq 0} \frac{\lambda_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \frac{\lambda_2^{k_2}}{k_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda_n^{k_n}}{k_n!} z_1^{a_{11}k_1 + \dots + a_{1n}k_n} \dots z_m^{a_{m1}k_1 + \dots + a_{mn}k_n} \\ &= \sum_{k_1 \geq 0, \dots, k_n \geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_1 z_1^{a_{11}} z_2^{a_{21}} \dots z_m^{a_{m1}})^{k_1}}{k_1!} \dots \frac{(\lambda_n z_1^{a_{1n}} z_2^{a_{2n}} \dots z_m^{a_{mn}})^{k_n}}{k_n!} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k_1 \geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_1 z_1^{a_{11}} z_2^{a_{21}} \dots z_m^{a_{m1}})^{k_1}}{k_1!} \right) \dots \left(\sum_{k_n \geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_n z_1^{a_{1n}} z_2^{a_{2n}} \dots z_m^{a_{mn}})^{k_n}}{k_n!} \right) \\ &= \exp(\lambda_1 z_1^{a_{11}} z_2^{a_{21}} \dots z_m^{a_{m1}}) \dots \exp(\lambda_n z_1^{a_{1n}} z_2^{a_{2n}} \dots z_m^{a_{mn}}) \\ &= \exp(\lambda_1 z_1^{a_{11}} z_2^{a_{21}} \dots z_m^{a_{m1}} + \dots + \lambda_n z_1^{a_{1n}} z_2^{a_{2n}} \dots z_m^{a_{mn}}) . \end{aligned}$$

We have just derived

Theorem 1:

$$f_0(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}} \right)$$

The conditional probability

$$\Pr(X_1 = k_1, X_2 = k_2, \dots, X_n = k_n \mid Y_1 = b_1, \dots, Y_m = b_m)$$

is the same as the expression in (1) divided by $F(b)$, provided that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}k_j = b_i$ for all i , and is zero otherwise. Recall that the r th factorial moment of a random variable W , $E[W^{(r)}]$, is, by definition, the expectation of $W!/(W-r)!$. We are interested in the conditional factorial moments of X_j given $Y = b$, which we will denote as $E[X_j^{(r)} \mid Y]$. By definition, $E[X_j^{(r)} \mid Y]$ the following expression and divided by $F_0(b)$:

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1, \dots, k_n \geq 0 \\ a_{11}k_1 + \dots + a_{1n}k_n = b_1, \dots, a_{m1}k_1 + \dots + a_{mn}k_n = b_m}} k_j(k_j - 1) \dots (k_j - r + 1) \frac{\lambda_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \frac{\lambda_2^{k_2}}{k_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda_n^{k_n}}{k_n!} . \quad (2)$$

Now, expression (2) is the same as the result of applying the operator $\lambda_j^r (\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j})^r$ to $F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ when viewing the λ 's as variables and not as constants. On the other hand,

$$\lambda_j^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \right)^r f_0(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \sum_{b_1 \geq 0, \dots, b_m \geq 0} \lambda_j^r \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \right)^r F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m) z_1^{b_1} \dots z_m^{b_m}$$

and therefore expression (2) is the same as the coefficient of $z_1^{b_1} \dots z_m^{b_m}$ in $\lambda_j^r (\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j})^r f_0(z_1, \dots, z_m)$. Since, as formal power series, we have the representation in Theorem 1. we conclude that expression (2) is the same as the coefficient of $z_1^{b_1} \dots z_m^{b_m}$ in $(\prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}})^r f_0(z)$, which is the same

as $F(b_1 - ra_{1j}, b_2 - ra_{2j}, \dots, b_m - ra_{mj})$ when all $b_i - ra_{ij} \geq 0$ and zero otherwise. In conclusion, $E[X_j^{(r)} | Y]$ equals $F_0(b_1 - ra_{1j}, b_2 - ra_{2j}, \dots, b_m - ra_{mj})$ divided by $F_0(b)$. We have proved:

Theorem 2: The conditional factorial moments $E[X_j^{(r)} | Y]$ are given in terms of the $F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ by

$$\lambda_j^r \cdot \frac{F_0(b_1 - ra_{1j}, b_2 - ra_{2j}, \dots, b_m - ra_{mj})}{F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)}$$

when all $b_i - ra_{ij} \geq 0$ and zero otherwise.

So everything depends on a fast computation of the coefficients $F_0(b_1, \dots, b_m)$, of $f_0(z_1, \dots, z_m)$.

By taking mixed partial derivatives, we can easily derive analogous expressions for mixed moments, in particular, the covariances.

4 Recurrences

From now on, let's assume that the entries of A , (a_{ij}) , are non-negative **integers**. In that case, we can write

$$f_0(z) = \exp(Q(z_1, \dots, z_m)) \quad ,$$

where $Q(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ is the **polynomial**

$$Q(z_1, \dots, z_m) := \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}} \quad .$$

By Cauchy's theorem, we can express $F(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ as a **multi-contour integral**:

$$F(b_1, \dots, b_m) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^m \int_{|z_1|=c} \dots \int_{|z_m|=c} \frac{\exp(Q(z_1, \dots, z_m))}{z_1^{b_1+1} \dots z_m^{b_m+1}} dz_1 \dots dz_m \quad .$$

By the celebrated **Wilf-Zeilberger** theory ([WZ]), $F(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ satisfies pure **linear recurrences with polynomial coefficients** in each of its arguments. This means that for each i between 1 and m , there exists a positive integer R_i (the order) and polynomials $P_r^{(i)}(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ ($0 \leq r \leq R_i$) such that the following holds, for *all* (b_1, \dots, b_m) :

$$\sum_{r=0}^{R_i} P_r^{(i)}(b_1, \dots, b_m) F(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_i + r, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_m) = 0 \quad .$$

Once these recurrences are known, one can compute $F(b_1, \dots, b_m)$ in time linear in $b_1 + \dots + b_m$ and with constant memory allocation (one only needs to remember, at each stage, a constant number of values).

In rare cases, the leading term of the recurrence would vanish, in which case, we would encounter a (discrete) "singularity", and would not be able to go on, since we would have to divide by 0, but in that case one can show that there is an alternative route, using another order of applying the recurrences.

The **Apagodu-Zeilberger**[ApZ] multi-variable extension of the Almkvist-Zeilberger[AlZ] algorithm can find such recurrences explicitly. Unfortunately, for matrices A with more than three rows, the time taken to find such recurrences is prohibitive, but many matrices of interest have two or three rows.

5 Two-Rowed matrices

If the matrix A only has two rows, and the entries are only $\{0, 1\}$, then one can express $F(b_1, b_2)$ as a *single sum*. Indeed, let

- c_{01} be the sum of the λ_j 's for which $a_{1,j} = 0, a_{2,j} = 1$,
- c_{10} be the sum of the λ_j 's for which $a_{1,j} = 1, a_{2,j} = 0$,
- c_{11} be the sum of the λ_j 's for which $a_{1,j} = 1, a_{2,j} = 1$.

Then, we have

$$Q(z) = c_{01}z_1 + c_{10}z_2 + c_{11}z_1z_2,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(z_1, z_2) &= e^{Q(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q(z)^k}{k!} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0} \frac{(c_{01}z_1)^\alpha (c_{10}z_2)^\beta (c_{11}z_1z_2)^\gamma}{\alpha! \beta! \gamma!} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0} \frac{c_{01}^\alpha c_{10}^\beta c_{11}^\gamma z_1^{\alpha+\gamma} z_2^{\beta+\gamma}}{\alpha! \beta! \gamma!} . \end{aligned}$$

To get $F_0(b_1, b_2)$, we must extract the coefficient of $z_1^{b_1} z_2^{b_2}$ which entails $\alpha = b_1 - \gamma, \beta = b_2 - \gamma$, and we have the single-sum binomial coefficient (hypergeometric) sum (replacing γ by k)

$$F_0(b_1, b_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{\min(b_1, b_2)} \frac{c_{11}^k c_{01}^{b_1-k} c_{10}^{b_2-k}}{k! (b_1 - k)! (b_2 - k)!} .$$

Using the **Zeilberger Algorithm** ([Z, PWZ]) , we get the following linear recurrence:

$$\begin{aligned} &(c_{10}b_1^2 + 4c_{10} - 2c_{10}b_2 + 4c_{10}b_1 - c_{10}b_1b_2)F_0(b_1 + 2, b_2) + \\ &(-c_{11}b_1 - c_{11} + b_2c_{11} + b_2c_{10}c_{01} - 2b_1c_{10}c_{01} - 3c_{01}c_{10})F_0(b_1 + 1, b_2) + (c_{11}c_{10} + c_{01}c_{10}^2)F_0(b_1, b_2) = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

6 The Maple package MVPoisson

All this is implemented in the Maple package `MVPoisson` accompanying this article. It is available from the webpage of this article

<http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/mvp.html> ,

where one can also find sample input and output.

******* DZ NOTE: paper: I looked at the sample outputs on the web, and saw statements such as:**

“This seems to be asymptotically etc etc...”

Can you please include a sentence explaining how this fit is done by the software? Or did you do this by hand? Is there any reason to expect $\Omega(n) = cn^p$? *****

References

- [AlZ] G. Almkvist and D. Zeilberger, *The method of differentiating under the integral sign*, J. Symbolic Computation **10**(1990), 571-591.
- [ApZ] M. Apagodu and D. Zeilberger, *Multi-Variable Zeilberger and Almkvist-Zeilberger Algorithms and the Sharpening of Wilf-Zeilberger Theory*, Adv. Appl. Math. **37** (2006)(Special Regev issue), 139-152
- [PWZ] M. Petkovsek, H.S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *A=B*, AK Peters, Wellesley, (1996). [available on-line from the authors' websites.]
- [WZ] H.S. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and "q") multisum/integral identities*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), 575-633.
- [Z] D. Zeilberger, *The method of creative telescoping*, J. Symbolic Computat. **11**, 195-204 (1991).